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was held in open court.)
SHOLOM RUBASHKIN,
called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. COOK:
Q. Everybody knows who you are, but please state your name for the record.
A. My name is Sholom Mordechai Rubashkin.
Q. Your age and date of birth?
A. I'm fifty years old. October 29 of '59.
Q. Where were you born, sir?
A. In Brooklyn, New York.
Q. Who are your parents?
A. My father is named Abraham, and my mother is Rivka.
Q. Do you have any brothers or sisters?
A. I do. I have five sisters, and we have four brothers.
Q. Make sure you speak into the microphone.
A. Okay. I can't really hear myself much.
Q. Where do you line-up in the family in terms
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of sequence?
A. I'm number 6 .
Q. We've heard some testimony about siblings
that are associated with your father's business.
Can you tell us who those people are?
A. My brother, Yossi, is the oldest of the sons. He is -- he was taking care of the New York operation. My brother, Heshy, and myself and my brother-in-law, Yossi Gourarie, we live in Postville. And my sister, Gittel, is in Florida.
Q. Do you have any children that work in your father's business?
A. Yeah.
Q. And tell us who --
A. Actually, I -- my son, Shmuly, worked in

Florida, and my son, Getzel, worked in Postville.
Q. Let's talk about you. Specifically, let's
talk about your education. How many years of formal nonreligious education did you take?
A. I -- I graduated eighth grade.
Q. What other education in the rabbinical or religious sense have you had?
A. Most of my studies, I went to -- I went
to -- are schools that have English -- a Jewish program in the morning and then English program in
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was there for about two, three years. And then my mother needed help in the store. My wife spoke about it. So I went there to help her. And then I -- I then -- they needed help in the meat store, so I went to help them over there. And then by then, I -- I kind of maxed out my -- what I really wanted to do.
Q. All right. We heard from your wife about a move to Atlanta. What were you doing there?
A. In Atlanta, I went to the, basically, an outreach director. There was Chabad of Georgia over there, and it was starting up, and he needed some help. And my wife and, it would have been, two, three children, they went to Atlanta. And I went to the colleges. I spoke to students. I participated in -- in this -- the services on the Sabbath. On Sunday, I gave Sunday school to small children who came from Macon, Georgia. They drove up and -- whatever had to get done, I did it. I just -- I just -- it was various, from -- from older adult classes down to teaching kids the alphabet, the Jewish alphabet.
Q. So this is work in conjunction with a rabbi there in Atlanta?
A. Yeah.
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Q. How long were you there in Atlanta?
A. A little bit over a year. And then -- it
was pretty successful, and then we had some -MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. Not responsive to the question.

MR. COOK: Let me ask another question.
THE COURT: Very fine. Thank you.
Q. All right. After you left Atlanta, where did you go?
A. We were -- we were very successful there and
had the other opportunities on the horizon, so we went back to New York and tried to -- tried to go -- be -- meanwhile, my father started this place called Agriprocessors in Postville, Iowa.
Q. Let me stop you right there. Of course, we heard from a number of witnesses about its origins and so on, and a kosher meat plant. Who were your other family members who were there when it started?
A. The whole organization was there. I was in New York listening to what was going on. We had -- I can't remember the names now. There was a manager called James Hatch; another guy called Tom Morris; another my father spoke about named Bob Ball. That was basically -- I started --
okay.
Q. When did your brother, Heshy, go there?
A. Oh, Heshy went right after he got married, before the start-up of the plant. He was there while all this was being laid out.
Q. Did you eventually feel some pull or pressure to go help in the family business?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. Did you eventually assist in the family business?
A. Yeah, that was -- my father was asking me
to -- to -- to not continue my desire to -- to be in the education field, if you want to call it that way, and see what I could do to help. And I really was not too -- not too interested in that. And there was -- being in Atlanta, I found out that there's a big shortage of kosher meat anyplace outside New York. It just was not available. If it was available, it came in either frozen or it wasn't the right kosher status. And I -- I -- Heshy -- actually, I saved up my own --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection to the narrative form of the answer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

Will you please ask another question. MR. COOK: Yes, of course, Your Honor.
Q. So you mentioned that when you were in

Atlanta you saw the difficulties with getting
kosher meat and poultry?
A. Correct.
Q. And eventually you come to be involved in your father's business in Postville; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And we heard testimony from Rabbi Cohen that you had some involvement in the kosher certification; is that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. What is involved in kosher certification?
A. It's -- it's involved in taking orders from

Rabbi Cohen, what he needed, what his concerns
were, whether the plant was dark or -- they weren't working two shifts then. Over the weekend, we would close things up, putting in -helping him put systems in place and then overseeing them, make sure they were overseen.
Q. And can you just tell us, just basically, what's involved to make meat kosher?
A. You have to start with a kosher animal. You
have to -- this is all Biblically ordained. You have to slaughter in a certain way, and then you have to check the inside organs to see if they're whole, there's no -- nothing that's been punctured. And after that, you have to soak and salt it, basically. And once that process is finished, it's -- it is kosher. Then we put it in a sealed bag with a sealed certification, USDA and rabbinical, and we sell it.
Q. All right. And then we heard, of course, that the kosher diet is part of the Orthodox Jewish life-style?
A. Yeah.
Q. Part of the religious dictates?
A. Correct, that's in the Five Books.
Q. All right. Now, I don't want to spend too much time on this, but there's a lot of fellows in the courtroom here that look like you, with black and a beard and a hat. What is the hat for?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. 610, relevance.

THE COURT: Let's --
A. Just a quick --

THE COURT: -- just pare that down to a general question.
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Q. Okay. Can you tell us, Sholom, why you dress and appear the way you appear?
A. It's -- it's -- it's a religious -- it's a religious way of dressing in the sense that -- in the Jewish custom, covering your head is a show of respect.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor, to the narrative response here.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A. It's -- it's a show of respect, to show that you have somebody on top of you at all times, to remind you that there's someone above you. And the beard, it says in the Five Books --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. Not responsive, 610.

THE COURT: I don't think we need to go into this amount of detail.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Maybe you can just shorten
it.
MR. COOK: That's fine, Your Honor.
Q. Is your clothing, the beard, and the hat you described part of your religious --
A. The clothing is my choice.
Q. But the beard and the hat --
A. Right.
Q. -- is part of your religious dictates?
A. Correct, correct.
Q. All right. Very good. All right. When is it approximately then that you shift from your teaching work or your rabbinical work and start some association with your father's business? A. I always had very -- a very, very strong sense of honoring my father and mother.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. Not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: Sustained. Will you read back the question.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the Court Reporter.)
A. It didn't happen in one move. It started out somewhere in the middle of, approximately -- I don't know, I have no diary written down -- but somewhere in 1990. It developed over a year, so I would put it somewhere in '90, '91.
Q. All right. Do you physically move from

Brooklyn, Borough Park, or Crown Heights to the Midwest?
A. No. I commuted the first year from Crown

Heights, Brooklyn, to Postville, Iowa, sort of --
14
so I could get a feel of what was going on there.
Q. Let me stop you right there. When you were
doing this commuting work, what was your task at the plant? Were you actually working on the line? Were you building things? What were you doing?
A. I had this -- I had a pet project that I
wanted to put in a skin packing machine which would allow -- through technology, that would allow fresh meat to last about forty-five days in a fresh form. And I had -- if we could get that machine in there, we could get some fresh kosher meat out and be able to market it to places like Atlanta or other Jewish customers.
Q. All right. And so you commute for about a year; is that right?
A. Roughly, until the school year. I think --

I guess, if you want to put it, the school year of 1990. When the school ended is when we decided to move to Minneapolis, Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota. It's the Twin Cities.
Q. All right. And so your family, your wife, and children then move to St. Paul?
A. Right.
Q. And your commute is a little shorter, but you're still commuting?
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A. Right.
Q. And during that time period, what were your -- what was your job at Agriprocessors? What did you do?
A. I don't know if I had a job at

Agriprocessors. I was -- whatever -- there
were -- it was the first year it started
business -- it started in, I think, '89, '90. And I'm trying to place where -- I think Tom Morris left, and then Donald came, and my father was working with the managers and stuff. But there was always an issue of getting kosher meat prepared. There was a start-up -- a lot of start-up issues, starting up this older plant, working with it. And whoever was available -- I'd come in the morning wanting to do something and wound up doing something else, like work in the coolers and pushing cattle around in the coolers to make space. At that time, we didn't bone any meat. I did that for maybe ' 92 or ' 3 , whenever. And then when Thomas left, I was on the kill floor for a while helping out over there, giving some encouragement to the workers, so that lasted for about '91, '92. Q. Does there come a time then, about ' 93 , when
you and your family -- your wife and your growing family move to Postville?
A. Yeah. When my son, Moshe, was born, that summer of ' 93 is when we moved from Minneapolis/St. Paul to Postville.
Q. Let's see if we can take then in 1993, and your family moves to Postville. What are your duties or what are your tasks that you accomplished while you're working at Postville -excuse me, working at Agriprocessors, Incorporated, while living in Postville?
A. It was -- it was either downstairs in the plant, it was talking to managers, seeing what had to get done. I don't know if I have a real clear recollection of what was going on at that particular -- I was traveling back and forth three, four hours. I would leave there Sunday night, stay there for a day or two, and drive back at night, and then come back. And I don't have a clear recollection of the exact tasks. I would bore you with the details with all that.
Q. I think you're talking now about being in

St. Paul?
A. Yeah.
Q. And I want to shift to Postville. The
family moves to Postville, is living in Postville.
The commute is no longer occurring. Did your job tasks change, or what are you doing at that period of time?
A. I was helping out a lot at the production facility. I was -- I think at that time the chicken plant was started. I was -- I was helpful over there. There were community issues, getting -- getting the community, and then some issues with the city, and I was working on those type of issues.
Q. And who was the -- essentially, managing the
plant or running the plant at that time?
A. I -- Donald Hunt was my father's manager there, the guy. James Hatch was also around. And when James Hatch left, Donald basically took over. And we worked with Donald. Heshy and I worked with Donald.
Q. Okay. Now, there comes a time when Mr. Hunt passes away, correct?
A. It's been about eight years now.
Q. Right, we're going to come back.
A. He passed away about 2003, June of 2003.
Q. I wanted to talk about that time period between '93 and 2003.
A. Okay.
Q. Do your tasks or duties evolve at the plant?
A. Yeah, I -- I guess I was -- I was on production. I got a little involved with raising money for Agriprocessors. There was a constant need for money. I called my father up and discussed what to do, and I suggested to him to call a few people and see if they can help us out. And he sort of didn't want to call them, so I called on his behalf, and that's how I got involved with -- with that part of it, and I was a little bit involved in the money side, and a lot of involvement in production or the rabbinical community or -- side of it.
Q. Now, if we fast forward a little bit to 1995, about how big is the plant at that time in terms of employees and production?
A. I -- I didn't really -- I don't have a clear idea. When I came there, there were about 70 workers there, as I remember. And then we went through a period that was -- there was a layoff. I remember working on that very strongly. There was big economic pressures, and we had to actually tone it down. And we had -- we had to have a layoff. We -- at a certain point, we mixed
between meat and chicken. We went -- went back and forth, from one side to the other --
Q. You might slow down for our court reporter. She's very good, but it's hard when you talk fast.
A. Okay. There was a time over there that we -- that's when Brent Beebe came there, on the kill floor, and we had to tone the business down to -- to sort of back up a little bit. And we set up three days beef and two days chicken for a while. It was -- I would say the first five, eight years was the growing pains that, you know, any start-up business goes through, you know, and -- and all the surprises that go along with it.
Q. Okay. Now, to produce the kosher meat and poultry, of course, we've heard that there's religious slaughters and rabbis that must be present at the plant?
A. Yes, lots.
Q. And as the plant grows, their population grows as well?
A. Yeah, the population grows. Postville was, I think, 1,200 when we came, and at the end of it, it was about, I think, about 3,500.
Q. I want to focus on the Jewish population
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that's there. Do you have any involvement in construction or development of the infrastructure to support the Jewish community that is coming to Postville?
A. Yeah.
Q. Tell us what involvement you had with that.
A. Well, a religious Jew in Postville is not the average that you find. And it's -- we needed a -- we needed a community to -- for people to want to be there. You're raising a family, typically, and there's an infrastructure that has to happen along with it. And I always viewed it like the pioneers of the west, when they first went out west and what it took to -- to -- just to have people that will come. You have to have established your restaurants, you have your theater, you'll have people that will come. But in the beginning it took convincing, meeting with people, explaining to them what we want to accomplish, that -- that it would work out, we'll be able to supply you. In the beginning it was quite a task, to talk with people that want to move there and then to try to get one or two teachers that want to teach, more or less.
Q. And let me see if we can speed this up.

We've heard, of course, that a Jewish school -actually two schools developed, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And a synagogue?
A. Correct.
Q. What was your involvement in getting those facilities off the ground and functioning?
A. I just did it. I talked to people,
convinced them to come. You know, we have people -- if you look in the courtroom, there's a lot of different dresses and a lot of different styles of people that have come together, and we had to be together for it to work. And it was quite a task to keep everybody wanting to be there and happy and not -- not arguing; and typical communal type of tasks, had some meetings with people to maybe try to avoid conflict with people, arbitrate a little.
Q. And eventually, you and others are successful in establishing these schools and the infrastructure?
A. Yeah, it was -- it was a communal effort, yeah. And then over the years, we developed over a hundred -- maybe more. I think it's 120 families were there. And had a school, large girl 22
school, large boy school. People coming from other cities to Postville. Initially, the first year people came from Minneapolis that I knew from there, and it grew.
Q. Let's go back to talking about your evolving chores at the plant. What sort of work do you do in the years leading up to Mr. Hunt's passing?
A. Like I said, I had this -- this
responsibility. I -- I was involved, as Donald Hunt was, and as Heshy was, with the day-to-day business, is the money coming in, is the money going out. I talked to my father quite frequently on that. I tried to help him wherever I could on that issue. Some were -- there's always people around doing their thing, and then there was more than enough to fill a day; and production, and a new project, a USDA issue. We had a neighbor, Iowa Turkey Products. They were very concerned about us coming to a small town, for whatever reason. I never really understood. And being the -- being the new kid on the block, as you will, we were -- there's always that -- that thing to explain to people who we are, why we're there. We had a lot of town meetings. It was quite a busy time.

Contact Patrice Murray at 319-286-2324 or patrice_murray@iand.uscourts.gov
Q. All right. Now, we, of course, have heard evidence that the turkey plant had a fire.
A. That's -- that's about 2005, I think. No. 2003? I don't know.
Q. All right. Well, in any event, they have a fire --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- in the early 2000s?
A. Right.
Q. And do some of those workers then come to work at Agriprocessors?
A. Oh, lots.
Q. Did you have any involvement in that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Tell us --
A. I had involvement in the sense that I suddenly started getting a lot of calls from --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Motion in limine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's rephrase it. Make sure that we --

MR. COOK: Yes, Your Honor.
Q. What was your specific task as it related to workers who might be coming from the turkey plant? A. I was approached by a lot of people. It was 24
a big to-do in Postville. A plant had burned down. I think it employed about 300 people, 350 people. At that time, I think we were about the same size, maybe a little bigger. I don't have an exact number. There was a big concern, what's going to happen with these people. It was from everyone, religious leaders, community leaders. There was a constant: We have to do something to help them out. And there was a question, maybe they're going to rebuild, and that sort of served some hope for a few months. And then finally, they made an announcement that they were moving out to Minneapolis. And from there, there was a lot of pressure to --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. Motion in limine and generally to this line of questioning.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. In any event, workers come to work at

Agriprocessors from the former turkey plant?
A. Right.
Q. And during this time period, we're talking now before Mr. Hunt passes, do you have an office in the plant or in the building, or where do you do your work?
A. I think I counted it out with Monty. I
think I had six or seven places -- offices
during -- I had one time an office down the hall from Donald and Heshy, and then I moved next to Donald, and then I moved in a closet-type office down the hall, and then I went downtown, and then I moved into a trailer outside the security, and, finally, downstairs in that building and upstairs. I think I counted six places that I moved around.
Q. Is there any significant change in your job
duties prior to -- when Mr. Hunt passes away?
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. And tell us what those changes were.
A. Mr. Hunt, like I was -- I would say he was a real driver. He was a very positive, energetic person, very can-do attitude. I described him as a breath of fresh air from other people we saw. And he also is the type of person that --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection. Not responsive to the question, Your Honor; narrative.

THE COURT: Sustained.
A. I'm trying to answer. I'm sorry.
Q. Tell us how your job duties changed.
A. I was trying to remember that -- he did 26
many, many different things, so it's split up, basically split up, between the managers in the plant. We didn't -- my father never really did find another manager -- or maybe he did find but -- that really filled his shoes, so his responsibilities were filled.
Q. All right. Split up between you, your brother, Heshy, and who else?
A. Mark Halbe was there; Gary Norris; Brent Beebe; Toby; Mark Switzer helped.
Q. Now, let me ask you, from the beginning to the end of Agriprocessors, did you ever have any ownership share in the company?
A. No.
Q. Does your wife ever have any ownership share in the company?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let's talk then about the time
period then after 2003. The plant is growing in size and in scope?
A. That is happening. Not by my design.
Q. And why do you say that?
A. Because that's an accurate statement.
Q. Why was it not by your design? Whose design was it?
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A. I think you heard testimony. I think my father and -- my father and Donald were quite -quite entrepreneurs, and there was always room for something else.
Q. And what was your title at the plant?
A. Vice-president.
Q. And your brother's title?
A. Vice-president.
Q. And Toby Bensasson's title?
A. Controller, CFO.
Q. We, of course, have heard testimony, but do
you have any accounting or official business training?
A. No.
Q. Who was in charge of hiring workers?
A. In charge of hiring workers is who -- who
decides -- the one who decides the -- who decides was Elizabeth Billmeyer.
Q. And she was the human resource director?
A. Yes, I knew her as the payroll person.
Q. Who did she report to?
A. Toby.
Q. How is it that you got involved with the issue of no-match letters?
A. It was -- it was spoken about before, like 28
the -- there was a period of time, ' 01, ' \(02, ~ ' 03\), from the exhibits that were there -- it was a long period of time where there seemed to be a computer -- a computer problem between what was happening between Toby's and Mitch's filing of whatever they have to file and how the government was taking the money. The money was sent every single week. And one day she came into my office, if I recollect correctly, and she says that we have a number of mismatches that just was -- it was big. And, of course, I didn't understand how that happened, because there were no prior indication of any -- any serious problem, but there was -- there was a mismatch letter that did come in the previous years, with ten people or thirty people. It was a very, very insignificant number. And I asked her to look into it. And I think most of them, if I can recollect, they were not there anymore. There was kind of a turnover there, and so they didn't really have any significance. And then suddenly, you have a -a -- numbers, and I think we saw all together in court, you have lines and lines of numbers.
Q. Let me stop you for a moment. Did you rely upon Ms. Billmeyer to deal with those in the first
instance?
MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. What reliance did you place on Ms. Billmeyer when it came to these no-match letters?
A. That she should take care of it. If there are mismatches, she should follow what it says on the form. I didn't really read the form, but it didn't seem to be an issue, and just deal with it. And then she reported back to me that most of them are gone and had no future dealings with them, and that was a confirmation to me that she was doing a good job in -- in hiring people.
Q. Now, of course, the jury's heard testimony from Ms. Billmeyer where she claims to be referencing a statement you made or paraphrasing a statement you made that "It's my company, and I'll run it the way I want to," or something to that effect. Did you ever make any statement like that?
A. First of all, Agriprocessors was not my company. And I -- I never -- I never talked like that. I never ever said it's -- it's impossible to have made a statement like that. It's not me.
Q. Now, while you're working in Postville, there are other operations of Agriprocessors in New York and Florida?
A. If I was involved there?
Q. No. Here's my question. You're in Postville, right?
A. Right.
Q. And you have certain duties and tasks you're attending to in Postville?
A. Correct.
Q. Are there other components of Agriprocessors that are in New York and Florida?
A. Yeah, there's a distribution in New York.
Q. Who runs that?
A. My brother, Yossi.
Q. And the Florida, who runs that?
A. I'm sorry, I have a little bit of a cough.

Florida is my sister, Gittel.
Q. And, of course, we've heard some testimony about the efforts to have a plant in Gordon, Nebraska. Was that something you were involved in?
A. That -- that -- Donald Hunt got a call from Gary Ruse from the bank in Nebraska, and he had his -- this place, and he wanted to start it up.
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And we took a trip out there to see what it's
about and the different benefits that were talked about with Donald, and he still got my father on board to purchase that. There was a discussion of working with American Indians and getting something going there. And with the kind of trouble we were having in Postville and the ability to do things, so --
Q. Okay. And, of course, we've heard some testimony about that. Let's shift gears and talk about the management or the corporate hierarchy there at Agriprocessors. Your brother's primary tasks were, what?
A. My brother, his sale -- he was in sales, took care of sales. He took care of the actual production. As I got busy with many other issues, he had more and more with production. And plus, he had -- Gary Norris, and Brent Beebe, and Mark Halbe, and then a guy from the -- from the quality control -- I forget his name now. They basically had a group, and they ran the plant.
Q. I think there's been some testimony that production controlled the plant, or something to that effect. Did you hear that?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, leading, Your
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THE COURT: Overruled.
A. In a very big way. Unfortunately, in a very big way. They would meet and make decisions what the production levels ought to be, what type of product ought to be, how many employees ought to be, how much staffing should be, and they directly communicated that with -- with Elizabeth, of how many people we want in this department, how many people in that department. There was one exhibit about that.
Q. Let me ask you about the production and the costs associated with production. What was your -- excuse me, what was your position regarding reducing expenses or reducing employees or reducing costs?
A. I -- I was always vocally -- vocally in that meeting. I was advising to keep the amount of the employees less. And unfortunately, the managers that actually decided how many workers there should be, they always felt, if you hire them -- if there's a problem, hire a few more people, hire a few more people. And that's how it really grew. They would give Elizabeth a list of how many people they want hired, and that's how
much they would hire.
Q. All right. Let me shift gears again and go back and talk about these no-match letters. Did there come a time in approximately April of 2007 where there was additional notices of the so-called no-match letters?
A. April -- which year?
Q. 2007.
A. I don't have really a chronology on exactly when it was. When I found out about mismatch, I asked Elizabeth what is happening in 2006. And if you ask me questions, I can go through that with you.
Q. Let's get at it this way. Did there come a time when there were notices that went to employees that said there was a problem with their -- with the matching of numbers?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was your involvement in that?
A. Very active. I discussed it with
consultants and -- discussed the problem. It's a very complicated, complex issue. If you read the actual form itself, it tells you there's a problem but there is no problem but there could be a problem, do this and don't do that. It's a very 34
complicated form, and I needed some guidance on how to deal with that. And then, finally, I -- in the absence of anybody else doing anything, I -- I told Elizabeth that she has to do as the consultant tells her and get the letter out.
Q. And so letters went out to employees?
A. On May 7, yeah.
Q. And what did you understand the employees were being told?
A. Well, the legal -- the legal -- the letter was drafted so we could correspond legally. It wasn't drafted by me. And this refreshes my memory, from seeing what was going on in court the last few weeks. I think you're required to give a notice to an employee for 60 days to correct any problems that may be with the mismatch.
Q. And what happened then as a result of the letters going out? What was your next involvement with that project?
A. Well, I was in New York on that Thursday, came back Friday afternoon. I was told the letter did go out. Came in -- I worked on -- at least worked on Sunday evening, but Monday morning, I was upstairs with Heshy, in Heshy's office, talking to him, and then there was discussion
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about some workers wanted to know what this was about. We gave the letter in English and in Spanish. And there were a number of workers that were outside and were kind of loud. And they wanted to know what this was about.
Q. And what did you do?
A. I can't speak very good Spanish. I mean, I -- a little bit I remember, but -- there were people there that had to guide me what to do. But they wanted to talk to me outside and talk about it.
Q. And what did you do then?
A. First, it wasn't my problem. It was Heshy and Gary's problem, and so I just -- I kept on walking from the plant to my office. And, basically, they said -- they were talking about leaving, and so I said, "You have to do what you have to do." And a number of them did leave. There was no -- a number of them left. It was labeled in the papers as a walkout. I didn't see it that way, because the plant had about 800 workers. And there were about, I would say, thirty, forty, fifty people, my guess, at its peak. And I went to the office. And some consultants were --
was very upset about it, and she offered to be a translator for me. And I thought that was a good idea. And then I went back into my office, found out what I should -- how I should handle it. And I went down and used her as a translator to try to explain to the people what this no-match letter means. And there was a lot -- if you ever tried to talk to forty people, with English
translation -- it's hard to describe it to you, but it was -- it was like -- something I had never seen before, never done before. And it was quite a job. And some people talked to her about the letter. They spoke about different issues in the plant, and I invited them up to talk to me, and finally people went back in the plant and they went back to work.
Q. Let's talk about -- I think there's been some reference in the testimony to a Passover meeting in April of 2008?
A. You're jumping ahead.
Q. Yes, I am.
A. Okay.
Q. What is the Passover meeting in April of 2008?
A. We had -- we -- we had -- we had this
mismatch issue we had to take care of. And we had a meeting with -- with five or six managers of how to deal with this mismatch issue. That had to get done. There was statutory issues that we couldn't do anything until March, and then I got -- then I got notified that we -- that issue has been resolved, we have to take action. And I -- we had a meeting about it. And there's a lot of discussion here about Trader Joe's and why that layoff was designed. The reason we were discussing this Trader Joe's issue was because we shouldn't have a repeat -- seeing what we had seen from outside instigators that were making problems in the plant --
Q. Let me stop you right there. Passover is when?
A. That year, working backwards, May 12 was about two weeks after Passover, so it was somewhere in April.
Q. Okay. And what is the significance of this so-called Passover meeting? What happens there?
A. It's a pre-Passover meeting, and we're talking about after Passover, I had to let go about 200 workers or -- round numbers, roughly 200 workers, and we -- I just had to let them go.

There are no risks and they have to leave, unless they fix the papers. And I was trying to get permission from the powers that be to -- to get it done.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you this specifically with respect to the powers that be. Who was the boss of Agriprocessors, Incorporated?
A. My father.
Q. Okay. Now, let's roll forward a little bit.

Does there come a time in May of 2008 when you learned that there might be some enforcement action by the government?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you have a sense of when that might occur?
A. I -- I had my own ideas of when it would occur.
Q. And, of course, we know from the record that it was May 12 ?
A. Right.
Q. And there's been some testimony about some hirings that occurred on the weekend before
May 12?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you working on that Sunday?
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A. I worked in the morning, went home about approximately \(3: 15,3: 30\), somewhere in that neighborhood. I don't have a diary. And then I came back for business, and not related to hiring, about -- anywhere in the vicinity of \(6: 15\) to \(6: 30\).
Q. Was there any hiring that took place on Sunday?
A. No.
Q. Were there any workers that were interested in applying for work on Sunday?
A. There was applications taken from workers on
that Sunday to be processed the Monday.
Q. And what involvement, if any, did you have in that application process?
A. I asked the -- in the absence of Elizabeth
being there -- she was away on a seminar -- I asked Laura if she could come in and take -- take applications. Laura does not have the training to -- to look at cards and find out if they're genuine or good. And I asked her just to come -to get the paperwork together, so Monday morning, when this consultant will be there and advise us how to act if these papers are correct or not, at that point, we'll initiate a hiring.
Q. There's been some reference to people
getting their documents and so forth. Did you have any involvement in people getting their documents?
A. No.
Q. Let me shift gears entirely, and we'll come back to the raid. First Bank Business Capital, of course, we know had a loan agreement with
Agriprocessors, Incorporated, to provide funding, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you've seen the loan document?
A. I've seen a loan document, about this thick.
Q. Big thick document?
A. Correct.
Q. And who signed that agreement, as far as you know?
A. The agreement was between the owner of Agriprocessors, which was Mr. Aaron -- my father, and I don't recall exactly the situation, why they wanted me to sign when they first made it, but somehow they pressed my father, and I wound up on the -- guaranteeing personally a million dollars.
Q. And when you signed your name to it, did you read every word of that thick document?
A. I saw my father's signature there, and I
signed underneath.
Q. So you didn't read the document or the information in the loan agreement before signing it?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, leading, Your Honor.
A. I --

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Please ask another question.
Q. Okay. Sir, you testified that you saw your father's signature and you signed your name. What did you do by way of reading the contents of the document and the provisions of the loan agreement, if any?
A. Actually, how the signatures worked is that they faxed me a -- the -- the signing sheet.
Q. Okay. So you signed it and faxed it back?
A. Faxed it back. And then, I don't know, days later, a week later, an envelope had come in by either UPS or Fed Ex with the whole package and my signature there.
Q. And what did you do by way of reading any of the contents of the agreement?
A. I never read it.
Q. Did you ever visit First Bank Business
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Capital?
A. No.
Q. Do you know -- strike that. Do you know -let me ask it this way. What do you know about whether or not First Bank Business Capital or FB Business Capital is insured by the FDIC?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, relevance, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. Tell us how you understood the loan to work.
A. I don't -- I understood that there was an agreement with the bank for a certain amount of money to loan Agriprocessors, and there were multiple layers of assets of Agriprocessors that the bank used and had -- had their loan covered by. And in addition to that, you had my father's personal guarantee and mine.
Q. Who handled the borrowing under the loan?
A. From day one, Toby and Mitchell did it, the first transaction and the last transaction.
Q. Now, we heard testimony, of course, by a number of witnesses about invoices that have been referred to as either invoices without supporting documents or fake invoices, specifically, the testimony of Darlis Hendry. How did you
for the court reporter.
A. I'm sorry, I'm sorry. The first issue is, there had been many weeks where Twin City Hides and VanHoven had not been invoiced at all, because that's a manual entry. For whatever reason, it was not being booked at all. I became aware of it, and I -- and I asked Toby to look into it. He said he would. And then, finally, he told me to go to Darlis and find out how that's happening and it will be straightened out. Then -- then there's simply the issue of there was orders that customers would book for Passover and for the lock -- to -- to lock in -- to, how do you say -reserve inventory, and those invoices were part of that too.
Q. That's what we heard called "bill and hold"?
A. That's what -- in the textile business, that's what it's called, bill and hold. And it was a common feature to do that as a service to a customer. It was actually something which the bank was -- was happy with, because the bank was constantly complaining about the high levels of inventory as it's going higher and higher and higher and higher.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor. understand the invoices to relate to the loan agreement?
A. I -- the invoices are made out to a customer, and in relation to that invoice, there's a lot of discussion about it, because there was different levels of shipping it, of billing and holding it, and it's something that was done every single day.
Q. What can you tell us about anything you did regarding requests for invoices from Darlis Hendry that did not have the supporting documents?
A. Well, with Darlis Hendry, I -- I -- I --

Toby -- I spoke -- Toby explained to me that we need --

MR. WILLIAMS: Hearsay, Your Honor.
MR. COOK: (2)(E), Your Honor.
THE COURT: (2)(E). I'm sorry, (2)(E)?
MR. COOK: \((2)(E)\) is what I said, Your
Honor. 801(d)(2)(E).
THE COURT: All right. I will
conditionally admit it under Bell.
Q. You may proceed.
A. Okay. The different issues that came up with the invoices and Darlis Hendry --
Q. Sholom, please try to slow down. It's hard

There's no question pending, narrative.
MR. COOK: I'll ask another one right now.

THE COURT: All right.
Q. On that subject, sir, what -- we've heard some talk about the inventory reduction plan. What was that?
A. There was in ' 07 -- it started in ' 07 , where there was discussion with the bank to reduce the amount of inventory that was building up in outside freezers. Like we spoke before, production was interested in producing and producing, and not always the sales matched the production. And there was a discussion with the powers that be what was to be done, and part of that plan was to -- we had large inventory of cutlets, of turkey products, of chicken products, of Uruguay meat, different types of products, that needed to be -- to be sold, and we tried to get it in a sales position.
Q. All right. Did you then, as you've
described, on occasion ask -- ask Ms. Hendry to prepare invoices?
A. For that, and also, in the time when we were bumping on top of our cap, the loan cap, I mean,

\section*{Contact Patrice Murray at 319-286-2324 or patrice_murray@iand.uscourts.gov}
watching this whole -- this whole court case, it makes me --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, nonresponsive, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the Court Reporter.)
Q. Would you answer that question?
A. The answer is, yes, and --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, not
responsive, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: I said "Yes."
THE COURT: Why don't you ask him another question.

MR. COOK: Yes, I will, Your Honor. Thank you.
Q. And why would you do that, sir?
A. We had discussion about where we are in
sales. I mean, Toby explained to me, and he indicated to me --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, hearsay.
MR. COOK: Same as before, Your Honor, under (2)(E).

THE COURT: All right. Bell procedures.
A. Okay. I'm just saying it very simply, that
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he indicated to me that the bank wanted to have
coverage on -- on their -- on the loan, that
they're not as -- as square as -- as they needed
to be, and if the invoice gets paid back, that would be an okay thing to do.
Q. All right. Was that something that you worked with -- strike that. Who did you work with on that -- on those requests, besides Darlis Hendry?
A. I worked with Toby, Darlis. Which time frame?
Q. Well, let's say in 2007.
A. With Darlis Hendry.
Q. And then 2008?
A. Darlis Hendry.
Q. What about Toby?
A. I mean, whenever he needed something, I worked with him.
Q. By the way, this reminds me, I think there was some testimony from Toby about you said you thought the phones were always bugged. What did you think about the phones being bugged at
Agriprocessors?
A. I think Toby worked for the Secret Service.
Q. And so who brought up the subject of the
phones being bugged?
A. What?
Q. Who brought up the subject of the phones being bugged?
A. Toby made a comment about it. I don't know.
Q. Let's shift gears entirely now, Sholom, and let's talk about the testimony that was presented by Mr. Bolt, the last witness the government called. What was your salary at Agriprocessors? A. I was making about \(\$ 2,000\) a week.
Q. And what personal expenses, if any, do you understand was paid by Agriprocessors, Incorporated, in addition to your salary? A. Nothing that was not accounted for that I know of.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor, not responsive. Ask that the answer be struck.

THE COURT: It is stricken, and you may -- Patrice, could you read that question back for him.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the Court Reporter.)
A. Any expense that came out from Agriprocessors was booked for where it was and what it was supposed to be for.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, not responsive, Your Honor.
A. And therefore --

THE COURT: There's an objection, and the answer is stricken. It does not appear to be a responsive answer.

Should we take just a short break here?
MR. COOK: That's fine, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's take about fifteen minutes. And, members of the jury, we'll be at recess for fifteen minutes. Please remember the admonitions of the Court. And we'll see you in just a few minutes.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
THE COURT: We are outside the presence
of the jury in the case of United States of
America versus Sholom Rubashkin, Case Number
8-1324. Mr. Rubashkin is present with counsel, as is the United States.

I was a little startled at the 801(d)(2)(E) response. I don't think that that -that rule permits hearsay to come in during the government -- or during the defense case. The rule reads that: (2), the statement is offered against a party and is, (E), a statement by a
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co-conspirator of a party during the course and in furtherance of the conspiracy. So I don't think that the defense can use that, unless you are admitting that there is a conspiracy, so it could be used as an admission against you if you offer it under that exception. And so I'm sorry I didn't stop it earlier. We have two statements in . I'm not sure that they were hearsay, so I'm not that concerned about it.

MR. COOK: They were offered for the state of mind also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And so I'm not worried about that, but that exception does not -is not available to you, as a matter of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

MR. COOK: We understand, Your Honor. We respectfully disagree under 801 (d)(2)(E) also applies -- we believe that 801(d)(2)(E) applies to the defense case, and we also believe that there was a conspiracy as admitted to by Mr. Toby Bensasson and Mitch Meltzer, who were co-conspirators, and it's a statement by one of those co-conspirators in furtherance of that conspiracy. And -- but nevertheless, Your Honor, we understand the Court's ruling, and we will
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proceed accordingly.
THE COURT: Mr. Williams?
MR. WILLIAMS: I find my only disagreement with the defense counsel is, clearly under \(801(\mathrm{~d})(2)\) is a subset of statements that are not deemed hearsay, and this subset is admissions by a party-opponent offered against a party-opponent, and they were not -- in this case that exception is not available to the defense.

Your Honor, I'd also request that the defendant be warned to make no further references to "consultants" as an euphemism for legal advice that he was provided. It came up during the testimony concerning the so-called walkout. He used the word "consultants" and "getting advice from consultants" as an euphemism for lawyers. The defendant is not mounting an advice of counsel defense. It is improper for him to make reference to getting advice from anybody concerning his conduct, and it's an attempt to present that defense without giving the government the opportunity to invade that attorney-client privilege and find out what sort of advice was given to the defendant and whether he complied with it in the first place. So we would ask that
the defendant be barred from any further references to "consultants." I fear this may come up again when we revisit May 12 and the presence of counsel there. And so I just wanted to bring that up to the Court, that the government is going to vehemently object if we get back into his consultation with so-called consultants.

THE COURT: Mr. Cook, anything on that, sir?

MR. COOK: Only to say, Your Honor, we're not relying upon advice of counsel. And I think Mr. Rubashkin was simply trying to describe the events as they were unfolding and was trying to be careful with the Court's ruling.

THE COURT: Because the defendant has not and has repeatedly told us is not relying on an advice of counsel defense. Any further reference to "consultants" or "lawyers" should not come in. It wouldn't be relevant at all, because that is not the defense that the defendant has sought to put in.

And also, we need to be very careful. Mr. Rubashkin, listen carefully to the question that's asked and only answer that question. And I know it's -- if you haven't testified before,
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you're nervous, but just try to focus on the question and just tailor your answer to that.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm trying to. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Yeah, I understand. And then if Mr. Cook needs some more information, he will ask you some more questions to bring out what he wants to bring out.

THE WITNESS: Could I speak to my counsel for a minute to get advice about answering the questions properly?

THE COURT: Sure, sure.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(A discussion was held off the record.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. WILLIAMS: I think we're going to hit the lunch hour with the defendant's testimony here, and I'd like to suggest that we either send the jury to an extra long lunch or the lawyers and the Court take a short lunch so we can deal with these exhibit issues while the jury's not waiting for us, so we can handle any objections so we can get that in cleanly.

THE COURT: All right. Is that all
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right, Mr. Cook?
MR. COOK: That's fine. But I think we can be even more efficient. I think once they give us the list of the things that they agree on, then the ones we disagree on, then I won't go over the ones they disagree on.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Then I think we're ready for the jury.
(The jury entered the courtroom.)
THE COURT: When we left off, Mr. Rubashkin was testifying. He's still under oath.

And I think we're ready for another question, Mr. Cook.

MR. COOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q. Before we took the break, we were talking
about Mr. Bolt's testimony and personal expenses.
Sir, what specific knowledge do you have of
Agriprocessors improperly paying your personal expenses, if any?
A. None.
Q. All right. Let's move on to another subject. There's been testimony in this record that certain members of the management or office folks at Agriprocessors received compensation by way of payroll; is that right?

\section*{A. Yeah.}
Q. And that they may have also been compensated for their work off the payroll. What involvement did you have with that?
A. Every -- every -- there would be many checks from Agriprocessors that were written in a -- the term is -- for -- when -- I think it's a 1099, where Agriprocessors would pay the individual, and that individual then would have to take -- take that out of his taxes.
Q. Who did you understand was in charge of monitoring or ensuring that the 1099s were followed?
A. The accounting department, which is Toby and Mitchell.
Q. What understanding, if any, did you have as to whether that was appropriate or not appropriate?
A. I -- I was told it's appropriate. They cut
the checks. They asked for a check request. And it's an appropriate form of payment.

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, ask that the answer be struck as calling for hearsay.

THE COURT: Do you agree, Mr. Cook?
MR. COOK: State of mind, Your Honor.

\section*{THE COURT: Overruled.}
Q. Okay. Let's shift gears again and now go back to the day of the raid.
A. Okay.
Q. May 12 , where were you when the raid occurred?
A. I was by the rendering plant.
Q. And how did you learn that the raid was underway or it was occurring?
A. I saw a helicopter flying overhead.
Q. And what did you do in relationship to the raid?
A. Well, I started walking back to the plant. Actually, I remember now. Brent Beebe called me and he says, "ICE is here," and I looked up, and there was a helicopter there. So I started walking back to the plant. I had a customer there that had come in for -- for talking about some stuff, and I was -- there at Agriprocessors, there's a side road that goes back into the plant, and there was cars flying all over the place. There was -- it was like mayhem. And then Elizabeth calls me and says, "Where are you?" And I said, "I'm walking up to the plant." "They want to break your door down." And I said, "Why?" 58
"They need to get in the office. They want to break the door down. Come quick." I said, "Fine. I'm getting there." As I'm walking up the hill, cars are coming in, you know. I don't watch much movies, but it was fascinating, if that's the right word. And all these guys jumping out of the cars, and I guess they must know who I am, because they didn't bother me. But they showed me a warrant, so I could walk back -- walk up to the office. I went to the office. I walked upstairs.
I opened my office up and sat down. And then they proceeded to give me a -- a warrant that was about this thick.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you this specifically. What cooperation, if any, did you give to the agents when they arrived?
A. Anything they asked for. I was very cordial to them. Mike Fischels was there.
Q. What was going on in the rest of the office that morning where the administrative activities occurred?
A. In -- down the hall in the -- where

Elizabeth and Laura sit, there was activity there that -- that we ought to have somebody there, if I can say this correctly, somebody -- okay, they
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were -- there's a lot of talking about what was going on over there. And I went to my office. And then I went outside my office, and I looked at the office from -- and it was -- it was very distressful. All the girls were sitting in a circle as if, I don't know, they were armed and dangerous. And one big six-footer was guarding them with some automatic weapon or something and -- I don't mean an automatic, a big -- a big handgun. And they looked very, very scared. And I asked them, "Do you have to do this?" And he said, "That's the way the procedure works." And I was quite shocked. I couldn't look at it, so I just went back. I went back into the office. And I was handed a warrant as if somebody is giving you a present, you know. Gave me a warrant, and I didn't have much patience to read it. I said -MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor.
At this point, it's a narrative.
THE COURT: It is. Ask him another question.

MR. COOK: Yes, of course.
Q. All right. How long were the agents there?

I think one witness has testified there were 600
there. How many were there -- excuse me, how long
60
were they there?
MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor, leading --
Q. How long were they there?
A. They were there for about -- I don't
remember clearly. I think five, six o'clock, if I remember, six. Roughly, by six o'clock.
Q. Six p.m. And they arrived approximately when?
A. If my memory is correct, about ten o'clock.
Q. All right. Now I want to try to get through
these things relatively efficiently here. After the raid, there's been some testimony about a blue file and a thumb drive and "Clean out your desk"?
A. That's way later.
Q. Yes, I know it is.
A. Okay.
Q. And what I want to know now, sir, is what instructions did you give to anyone about cleaning out their desk or cleaning up their desk?
A. I -- you're talking about a Friday. It was
a Friday. And there's a lot of tension there because payroll was delayed, and the people felt something was really amiss. I had been arrested the day before, so I guess I was -- actually, when

I came back once I was arrested, I didn't -- I didn't get to say hello to anybody anymore. Toby was talking to them about the possibility of not coming back to work the following week, didn't know if we would have any work. And I was -- I was by April Hamilton, and maybe the other girls was there too. I wasn't directing anything at her. And she asked me what was going on, and I said, "You may have to clean up your desk because, you know, it may be over."
Q. Okay. Now, there's been testimony about a blue file and a thumb drive. What, if anything, did you do by way of removing a blue file or a thumb drive from the plant?
A. I did not remove a blue file. I don't know the color of the file. And this was not on Friday. This happened on Wednesday, before -before I was arrested. I had just come back from Canada. And I wanted to know -- came to Toby. The auditors were there. And I was in Toby's office. I asked if I could get the information, which she gave me. She gave me the little, I don't know, black or whatever it was colored thumb drive. And I asked her, "Where do you put it when you're finished?" And she told me, you put it
in -- there's a hat in the office there, and that's where they kept it. So I said, "Fine."
Q. Is that what you did with it?
A. That's what I did.
Q. What about this reference to a file that was
blue in color? Did you take a blue file?
A. There's no reason for me to take a file.

She gave me a -- she gave me a file and -- and a
chip, and I sat in Toby's desk, and I left everything there.
Q. Now, I want to change to another subject here. After the raid, of course, you lose a number of workers, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And there are issues with production and continued operation of the plant?
A. Correct.
Q. And there are meetings or a meeting where

Mr. Phil Lykens is present?
A. Correct.
Q. And either in person or on the phone did you make any statements to him about your knowledge of whether there were illegal workers in the plant?
A. The -- the statement was -- Phil Lykens was talking. I was -- I remember the statement, but
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\section*{Stockyards Act?}
A. Packers \& Stockyards Act, I have a basic knowledge. It's -- more how it pertains to cattle, less of a knowledge how it pertains to poultry. There's a lot of detail. There's subsections of other sections. And it's about 2 pounds. It's this thick. And I haven't really read the whole thing, but -- but I'm aware of the basic concepts of it.
Q. And what action did you take, if any, to hold checks or to not comply with the Act?
A. I -- I tried to comply to the Act. I tried to comply with the Act.
Q. We touched on this a little bit earlier, but can you just give us -- what can you list for us in terms of the special projects you worked on as the vice-president at Agriprocessors, Incorporated?
A. Well, that -- in that time frame -- excuse me, I'm sorry, I've got suddenly this cough.

I can try by memory. I was involved with this Nebraska plant. I was involved with the chicken houses, building of the chicken houses, started in about 2006. And that pertained to permitting, getting the proper permits, all the
issues that surround that, getting the ground and where it was built. I was involved with the --the coordinating buying, coordinating the frying line. Again, this was told for me to do. It wasn't something I really wanted to do. The refrigeration system around that, the rendering plant. I was sort of the -- the -- the funnel for legal issues, where they would talk to me, and then I would report back to the powers that be about what's going on, sort of like deciphering what was going on. We had waste water issues. The plant about then started up. Ron Jacobson reported to me on that. I was involved with the trucking company. I was involved buying cattle. Q. Were you sometimes gone from the plant? A. Yeah, many times. Q. And what were those occasions for?
A. Many times, well, either went to Nebraska or to go to New York on business, some for family. My father had an 80th birthday that year. We had a family thing. And then I went on a trip to Israel in '07, and there was -- there was different needs in the business that takes a person out and makes them travel.
Q. Who was the person who primarily controlled 66
the money at Agriprocessors, Incorporated?
A. When you say "controlled," can you be more specific?
Q. Who was the controller?
A. Controller, it was Toby. But that's not -Q. What involvement, if any, did you have in the finances of the business?
A. I had -- my involvement was in the scope of -- the way it was set up was that you had -you had the New York division, you had a Florida division, and you had Agriprocessors, and Local Pride, and different -- different people had access to the checking account for various payments. And I was -- when I had time or likely to give a scan on it, to see the activity of money that's going through the accounts. That's one thing I did. The second thing I did was, the one that really controls the checkbook is the one who makes the order. In my mind, the one who really controls expenses in the company is not the one who signs the check, but rather, the one who makes the order and makes the company have to pay for something. So if Chaim Abrahams or Mike Halbe or Heshy or Gary or whoever are doing what they're doing, then they are making an encumberage --
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they're making liability, whatever it makes on the company, so then, it comes to the company having to have to pay. I was trying to -- one of the things I tried to do is trying to see what these people are doing and trying to report back to my father of what's really happening, whether it would be too high of a payroll, whether it would be too high of expenses, or whether it would be too high of whatever else is going on.
Q. Okay. Let me shift gears again. And we have for demonstrative purposes Defendant's Exhibit 6032B, which is a poster that sets forth certain employer obligations and employee rights.
A. Correct.
Q. Whose -- who was in charge of seeing that these things were complied with?
A. Elizabeth.
Q. What mistakes, if any, did you make when you were at Agriprocessors?
A. I made -- I made -- I made mistakes. I'm a human being. I was brought -- I took information from people, what they told me, and sort of went with it without really drilling down, if you will, or seeing if it was for real or not. I -- I
relied -- I basically -- for the lack of having
68
any business training, I relied heavily on advice of either co-workers or people in different positions with degrees. The reason I got into, for example, to having access to -- access to all the workers, workers felt accessible to me because, first, because of my personality, and second of all, Mr. James Hatch, when I first came, he said, "You have to have an open door policy where people want to approach you. Don't tell them 'I'm too high on the ladder. I can't talk to you.'" So whenever somebody came to talk to me, my personality fits that way, and that's how I was taught, to have other people come talk to me. Once people talk to you, suddenly you're in the middle of things you really never should have been there.
Q. All right. Let me ask you finally, sir, very specifically, you're charged with crimes in this case. Did you intend to cheat or steal First Bank Business Capital?
A. No.
Q. Did you intend to launder any funds that
were Agriprocessors' funds or funds that were to go to the bank?
A. No.
Q. Did you intend to hold illegally checks for nonpayment to livestock sellers?
A. No.
Q. Did you intend to submit any false statements to the bank for the purposes of committing a crime?
A. No.

MR. COOK: Thank you for your testimony.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

\section*{BY MR. WILLIAMS:}
Q. \(\$ 1.5\) million of checks that were issued from Agriprocessors were deposited into your personal account, isn't that right, sir?
A. Yes --

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A. According to your exhibit, that's what it says.
Q. Those funds were used, in part, to pay for your house payment, right?
A. Yeah -- yeah, there are payments on it for personal use.
Q. Used to pay for your car payments, right? A. Yes.
Q. Used for your house addition --
A. No --
Q. -- is that right?
A. No.
Q. Used to pay for a silver tea set located in your house?
A. Not for me.
Q. And all these things were paid for by money coming from Agriprocessors, isn't that right, sir? A. Yes --

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
A. -- you're missing something.

THE COURT: Overruled.
Q. Now, we've talked about the \(\$ 1.5\) million, but there's other funds that came to you as well, weren't there, sir?
A. I'd like to address the 1.5 , because you're not giving a clear picture to the jury.
Q. If your counsel wants to ask you questions, he can do that, sir. I want you to answer mine now, okay? Understand?
A. (No response.)
Q. In addition to the \(\$ 1.5\) million that came from Agriprocessors, you also got additional funds from Agriprocessors for personal expenses, isn't
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9 A. That's part of the exhibit.
10 Q. And that was actually covered by a check
7 Agriprocessors's checks, Number 33268 and 33323,
8 both for \(\$ 15,000\), that went into your personal
9 bank account, isn't that right, sir?
    A. After I gave Agriprocessors money too.
    Q. On February 26, 2008, you bought a 1.2 caret
    diamond from WhiteFlash.com, Inc., using your
    Agriprocessors American Express card to pay for a
    \(\$ 7,100\) bill?
    A. I thank you for bringing that up very much.
    I had made phone calls to this business you're
    talking about. American Express, they sued me for
    money that I personally owe them. That's not --
    that's not -- that wasn't a personal expense --
    that wasn't a business expense. That was a
    personal expense.
    Q. And that credit card bill was paid for with
    funds from Agriprocessors, wasn't it, sir?
    A. Not as -- not as a -- as money coming to me
    but as a -- as a -- as a pay back for money that I from Agriprocessors in the amount of \(\$ 9,000\), wasn't it, sir?
A. I'm not sure if that's the check or if that was one of my payroll checks.
Q. You signed a check on May 3, 2007, a personal check to Dean Drew Cabinets, for \(\$ 7,260\) ?
A. There was deposits -- there were deposits into that account that came from a loan that I took from Citizens State Bank for my -- my -- that extension. And I don't think your exhibit accurately reflects the approximate \(250, \$ 300,000\) personal loan that I'm paying today to pay for these things that you're talking about.
Q. Let's get away from that exhibit then.

Let's start talking about some other things here.
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A. I like this exhibit. Keep on going.
Q. January 15,2007 , sir, you signed two checks
from your personal checking account to pay your
taxes for the tax years 2005 and 2006?

Agriprocessors's checks, Number 33268 and 33323,
both for \(\$ 15,000\), that went into your personal
bank account, isn't that right, sir?
A. After I gave Agriprocessors money too.
Q. On February 26, 2008, you bought a 1.2 caret
diamond from WhiteFlash.com, Inc., using your
Agriprocessors American Express card to pay for a
\$7,100 bill?
A. I thank you for bringing that up very much.

I had made phone calls to this business you're talking about. American Express, they sued me for money that I personally owe them. That's not -that's not -- that wasn't a personal expense -that wasn't a business expense. That was a personal expense.
Q. And that credit card bill was paid for with funds from Agriprocessors, wasn't it, sir?
A. Not as -- not as a -- as money coming to me
that right, sir?
A. I don't know -- if you show me an exhibit, maybe I can answer the question.
Q. Let's talk about some of them. First off, on May 4, 2007, your wife wrote a personal check,
Number 7856, in the amount of \(\$ 8,117.47\) made payable to Latham Furniture. Do you recall that, to purchase a complete copy of the transcript.
had brought into Agriprocessors.
Q. On September 25, 2006, you wrote a check --
A. That diamond was for my son by the way. He got married.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I'd ask that the Court strike that answer as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Yes. That answer is stricken and will be disregarded by the jury.

And you may ask another question.
Q. Sir, on September 25, 2006, you wrote a
personal check in the amount of \(\$ 5,400\) to
Simpson's Jewelry. And on October 26, about a month later, you signed a check off of the Agriprocessors account, Number 123400, in the amount of \(\$ 10,600\), to Simpson's. The combination of those two matches an invoice from Simpson's Jewelers for the purchase of two diamond pendants, one emerald pendant --

MR. COOK: I object to this narrative. Is there a question, or is this testimony by a lawyer?

THE COURT: I'll ask you, Mr. Williams, to please ask a question.
Q. Sir, isn't it true that you used

Agriprocessors' money in October of 2006 to buy
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\section*{jewelry from Simpson's Jewelers?}
A. Agriprocessors had bought employees -- they
were given presents. And if you give me dates, I can tell you. One of them may have been for my father's birthday party, and other managers have received bonuses in the form of gifts, like any other company does. And to lay it in that light is not correct.
Q. Sir, the -- the \(\$ 1.5\) million that was
deposited in your personal bank account from checks from Agriprocessors, you agree with your son that a number of those -- a number of that money was used for your personal expenses, isn't that right, sir?
A. I didn't have time to review what my son worked on. He's my son. The reason my son did it was because of my lack of funds -- the reason my son did it was because of the lack of my money now to hire a proper accountant for this job. And upon review, you're missing a very important component of that whole exhibit, which is how was that -- those checks booked and how were those -how much money I had brought into Agriprocessors, and how Marks, Peneth \& Shron, the accounting company, set up the account at my father's
sir. sir?
direction for Sholom, for Yossi Gourarie, and for Heshy Rubashkin, and if I'm not mistaken, Yossi Rubashkin. And so we have to take everything into account before we understand that particular issue.
Q. Are you finished, sir?
A. I'm trying to give you a correct answer,
Q. Let me ask you a question. Do you disagree with your son's analysis that a good portion of the money that came from Agriprocessors, deposited into your personal account, was used for personal expenses?
A. Some of that was used for my personal expenses, correct.
Q. And you were limited in your compensation, were you not, by the loan agreement?
A. I found that out when I was sitting in court, yeah.
Q. You were limited to \(\$ 150,000\), isn't that right, sir?
A. I don't remember the number, but you're assuming that that money, again, came from --
Q. Sir, please just answer my question. You were limited to 150,000 . You know that or you
don't know that?
A. I don't know that. I found that out sitting in court, yes.
Q. Now, when you declared your taxes though, you only declared \$99,000, roughly, in the 2005 and 2006 tax returns, isn't that right?
A. I don't know if that's correct.
Q. Your salary, as reported in your tax returns in 2005 and 2006 --

MR. COOK: Your Honor, objection, assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: You can ask a question on that, Mr. Williams.
Q. Sir, isn't it true that you reported your income, your salary, as \$99,000 in 2005 and 2006?
A. I -- I don't prepare my own taxes. I have a company, which I give them all the information that they request for, and they prepare taxes. I am not really prepared to be able to answer you properly about a tax issue at this moment without proper records.
Q. You signed your tax returns, didn't you,
A. Yes.
Q. You've read your tax returns, didn't you,
sir?
A. I signed on the dotted line after the
professional company sent me the form --
Q. Sir --
A. -- as most people do.
Q. You did not read your tax returns either?
A. I gave them information. He calculated it, tells me what to do, and I did it.
Q. Now, the money that you received and used for your personal benefit from the Agriprocessors checks, that wasn't information reported to First Bank, was it, sir?
A. I think every time a check is cut from

Agriprocessors, it is reported to First Bank.
It's part of the general ledger at Agriprocessors.
It's made out with a check number, where it's made out to.
Q. And First Bank didn't review your personal bank account to find out what you were doing with Agriprocessors's money, did they, sir?
A. If they would have wanted to, I would have given it to them.
Q. Now, what companies were you the president or chief executive officer of in 2007?
A. Well, officially, I'm a president for Local
78

Pride out in Nebraska, whatever that means, because it's also officially a division of Agriprocessors, which I'm no ownership of. I don't know how that happened. There's a company for -- we bought houses in Postville, called
Nevel, that I don't know what I am, a
vice-president or president of. There's American
Pride, with the supply situation on the poultry.
There's an issue if a packer is allowed to own
livestock. In order to avoid that issue, the company made -- it's total liability, no profit there -- called Cottonballs, for these chicken houses. Again, my brother Heshy is there.
Q. What was your position with Cottonballs, sir?
A. I really don't remember the official titles.
Q. Let's do it this way. Were you ever a
president of Agriprocessors?
A. Never.
Q. Now, as --
A. I'm sorry, I'm sorry. PETA put me out as
president of Agriprocessors.
Q. Have you ever put yourself out as president
of Agriprocessors?
A. Never.
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1

6 A. Never. I'm a very humble person.
7 Q. Does Agriprocessors only have one location,
sir, one headquarters?
A. I always viewed it as Postville being the headquarters.
Q. Okay. What's located in New York?
A. That's the -- the meat market. That's a distribution center.
Q. Okay. Was that part of Agriprocessors then?
A. I think it is, yeah.
Q. Okay. So there's one Agriprocessors, and
the New York facility is simply a distribution part of it?
A. It's called Agri New York. That's called Agri New York.
Q. Okay. Not referred to as Agriprocessors, Incorporated?
A. You're asking me technical terms. I don't know. I don't know.
Q. Do you have any position with Agriprocessors

\section*{in New York?}
A. I was -- I was a vice-president in

Agriprocessors in Postville, Iowa.
Q. All right. And you didn't answer my question. Did you have any official position with Agriprocessors in New York?
A. Could be at a certain time, when things were developing. I don't know. Maybe -- maybe.
9 Q. And Agriprocessors in New York is not a
10 separate entity from Agriprocessors in Iowa, is
it, sir?
A. I am not familiar with the corporate
structure. I really don't know.
Q. Now, you were a signatory on the loan from

First Bank, right?
A. Limited.
Q. You signed the loan agreement with First Bank, did you not, sir?
A. I signed a loan agreement at my father's request, correct.
Q. All right. Now, you were a signatory on the bank account at Freedom Bank?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Also at Citizens State Bank?
A. If I remember correctly, yes.
Q. Okay. North Fork Bank?
A. North Fork Bank, now I remember. I don't remember what my signature to that was.
Q. Well, that was -- you opened up a bank
account -- Agriprocessors opened up a bank account
at North Fork Bank?
A. It started out with Trust Company Bank

Holdings doing the account, and from there, I
think they sold out to North Fork, and I don't
really recall what type of paper I signed.
Q. But Agriprocessors had an account with North

Fork?
A. I didn't sign the checks, I don't think.
Q. You signed some paperwork with North Fork --
A. Could be.
Q. -- in order to open an account?
A. Could be. I wouldn't be surprised if you showed me something.
Q. And also with Decorah Bank \& Trust, right?
A. We had a few accounts, yes, I think.
Q. Now, let's talk for a minute. You talked about Cottonballs. Cottonballs was involved in the chicken barn, isn't that right?
A. Cottonballs was -- was organized in order -for the chicken barns -- in order to try to comply
82
with some sort of regulation that prohibits a packer from owning livestock.
Q. Okay. And Cottonballs was used as a vehicle
for paying for the building of the chicken barns, right?
A. It got a loan. Cottonballs took a loan out from Luana Bank.
Q. And there were expenses involved with
building those, labor expenses, right?
A. From -- with an Israeli company, correct.

Actually --
Q. The Cottonballs account was used to pay for workers, wasn't it?
A. Excuse me? Sorry.
Q. The Cottonballs account was used to pay for workers who built those chicken barns, isn't that right?
A. I don't recall Cottonballs. I think -- I
don't recall that.
Q. Okay.
A. There was some payroll -- there was some money being paid. If you show me the documents, I can explain.
Q. And then once the chicken barns was built, there had to be workers paid for offloading the

Contact Patrice Murray at 319-286-2324 or patrice_murray@iand.uscourts.gov to purchase a complete copy of the transcript.

\section*{83}
chickens into the barns on a regular basis, right?
A. Yossi Gourarie really took care of that.
Q. Well, you signed the checks paying for this, didn't you, sir, a large number of them?
A. I signed a lot of checks. You know, a lot of people testified here in court that they signed -- they signed things. They signed things. You know, computers sign checks too.
Q. And Ron Wahls was involved with that, wasn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. And Ron Wahls was the guy that was also involved trying to work with the workers who had no-match problems later on, isn't that right?
A. Ron Wahls was one of the contacts that we put out for people that would need some help. \(\mathrm{He}-\) - he presented himself as a minister. He built a -- a hall next to his buildings, and he was interested in helping the Hispanics.
Q. And he was the one that kind of was the go-between between Cottonballs and the workers that were used to build those buildings to ultimately offload the chickens?
A. Two things, that's incorrect. For a limited time, and it was not a deal made by me. It was a

\section*{84}
deal made between him and Agri -- AGRITOP, it's a company from Israel. And I can explain if you want me to.
Q. The bottom line is that that money would go to him to go to the workers, right?
A. If I -- if I understand correctly, AGRITOP

7 came with a deal that they would build five
8 houses. They ran into visa problems, labor
9 problems, being here. They found Ron Wahls. They
10
11

12
13
14
15
16

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 A. I signed some of them. Some signed by other
people.
Q. And Garnavillo Gospel is Ron Wahls's church, isn't that right?
A. As I understood it, yes.
Q. And the workers he was using -- or that were being used to build these barns, they're the local Hispanic workers, weren't they, sir?
A. They were laid off construction workers.
Q. And so you would write checks to Ron Wahls, made out to Garnavillo Gospel, to pay for the workers who were building your chicken barns, isn't that right?
A. What happened was AGRITOP organized with

Toby, because they came in on a Monday -- I remember the day very clearly. I didn't want to get in the middle of that. And Toby, under pressure from his Israeli buddies, made me do -pay them, and they finally took it off the -- off the final bill from the contract.
Q. Putting on the display here what's been admitted into evidence as 1203. And ask you if this isn't a -- a list --

MR. WILLIAMS: Actually, I'm going to move this in evidence. I believe this is one defendant's agreed to admission of, and we just
haven't offered it yet. 1203 I'd move into evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. COOK: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 1203 was received.)
Q. Putting 1203 on the display here, this is a
list of all the checks that were issued to Ron
Wahls and to Garnavillo Gospel during a time period of 2006, and then it continues up through 2008?
A. Okay.
Q. And it starts off being paid to Ron Wahls, and then all of a sudden it switches over going to Garnavillo Gospel, isn't that right, sir?
A. Correct, I stopped that.
Q. That wasn't -- that wasn't charitable donations, was it, sir?
A. It was never booked as a charity.
Q. Sir, you made contributions to politicians, isn't that true, sir?
A. I can't answer anything about politicians.
Q. You filled out --

MR. COOK: Your Honor, objection.
Assumes facts not in evidence, also 403 and
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motions in limine.
THE COURT: Sustained.
But I will have to admonish the gallery
that they are not to have any reaction to any of
the testimony. And if you do, I will have to have
you removed.
Q. Sir, you testified earlier that you've never
declared yourself to be the president of
Agriprocessors?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. You never declared yourself to be the CEO of Agriprocessors?
A. Not that I recall.

MR. COOK: That question's been asked and answered, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. In fact, on November 5, 2000, you made a political contribution in which --

MR. COOK: Objection, Your Honor, 403, motions in limine.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. WILLIAMS: Can we have a sidebar, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. I'll invite the jury to relax.

88
(The following was held at a sidebar.)
THE COURT: Outside the presence of the
jury in the case of United States of America
versus Sholom Rubashkin, Case Number 8-1324.
Mr. Rubashkin is personally present.
And there was an objection made on the basis of the motion in limine as to questions about contributions to politicians. I'm just looking at my motion in limine ruling. It's the order filed as Document 677, Page 6, Paragraph I. The government asked the Court to bar evidence of visits to Agriprocessors, Inc., by politicians and other public officials. And then the Court ruled that that evidence is not relevant because it has no tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence. So technically, the question does not violate the Court's order on the motion in limine. But, Mr. Cook, other concerns?

MR. COOK: Well, Your Honor, 403, Number 1 , and the Court is absolutely correct with respect to reciting its previous ruling. I find it to be extremely unfair and prejudicial to my
client, that the -- we're unable to present any evidence regarding politicians and their interactions with Mr. Rubashkin, yet the government comes in on cross-examination, after they've won on their motion, and wants to dump in evidence regarding his interactions with politicians. We've stayed away from that, and it's -- it's 403, it's not relevant, it's confusing and prejudicial, and it's unfair. And if the government wants to demonstrate that he held himself out to be president on some document, that's one thing, but to interject this notion of politicians, we think, is solely inappropriate, and, frankly, it may open the door to us calling a number of politicians if they continue down this road successfully.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams?
MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, the relevance on this goes to the defendant holding himself out to be owner or president and CEO of Agriprocessors on a number of occasions in relations to filings with the Federal Election Commission, with regard to political donations he made. I'm not getting into visits to the plant by politicians. I'm not getting into interactions with politicians. What 90
I'm getting to is documents that reflect the defendant represented himself to have ownership and hold himself out as president and CEO of Agriprocessors when making political donations. The political donations is simply the context in which he made these representations. And so I can't get to the fact that he's held himself out to be these different positions without laying the context in which it -- in which it took place. Now, if there's some other way that I can do that, I'm fine. I don't care whether it was political contributions or some other document. The nature of the document doesn't matter. What's important here is that the defendant has denied vehemently ever representing himself to be president or CEO of the corporation, and I have documents that suggest just the opposite.

MR. COOK: Your Honor, first of all, this man doesn't file anything with the Federal Election Commission. It appears as though the government is referring to documents that are maintained by the Federal Election Commission where the recipient of the funds is obligated to declare who they received it from and what their occupation is. So it's hearsay in hearsay, and
there's authenticity problems, and it's far afield from issues in this case. It's well established what his position was. There's some dispute about his control, but what title that may have been represented to some politician or what some politician may have had his staffer write down when they're submitting their election reports is not relevant and material to the issues in this case and certainly 403.

THE COURT: Are you looking at a document that the candidate filed or something that Mr. Rubashkin filed?

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I'm looking at the information off of the Federal Election Commission, and it -- it reflects the donations, the date of the donation, who made the donation, what their address is, what company they worked for, the name of the employer, and the occupation. It's the government's position that that information can only come from the donor. It's not going to be simply made up by whoever the politician is. And there's a good faith basis for asking the defendant whether, in fact, he represented himself on a number of these donations to be -- to hold these various titles.

\section*{92}

MR. COOK: Your Honor, there's no foundation. There's no authenticity or foundation that can be established for this document. It also assumes facts which are not in evidence and which couldn't get into evidence because they have no witness to get this document in. It's not a document that was offered by Mr. Rubashkin, it contains hearsay upon hearsay, and it's 403.

THE COURT: The Court is ready to rule. Under 401, 402, and 403, the Court makes the finding that this line of inquiry is not going to proceed for the reason that it's not relevant, doesn't have foundation. This is not an instance where Mr. Rubashkin, as far as we can tell, ever held himself out. What other folks wrote is highly irrelevant. And I'm afraid, if we get into this politician thing, we're going to open the door to a lot of other things that we've successfully navigated through.

So I'll ask you, Mr. Williams, just to move on to another topic.

MR. WILLIAMS: We'll do.
(The following was held in open court.)
THE COURT: We're back on the record.
And the -- if there was a question pending, the

Court sustains the objection.
And you may ask another question, Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
May I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Yes.
Q. Mr. Rubashkin, you recall earlier we were talking about the various bank accounts that you had. I want to talk about the North Fork Bank.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recognize this as a corporate resolution for North Fork Bank with regard to Agriprocessors? And I'm referring, for the record, to Exhibit 5217.

THE COURT: Do you want to step away from the witness while --
A. It's -- it says North Fork Corporate Resolution, yep.
Q. And let me just show you the last page here.

Do you recognize that as your signature there,
sir?
A. It looks like it.
Q. All right.
A. Toby filled that one out.

MR. WILLIAMS: United States moves to
admit Exhibit 5217, Your Honor.
MR. COOK: Objection, foundation, authenticity. It's also several -- several pages are totally illegible.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
The -- the exhibit is admitted.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 5217 was received.)
Q. This would be the corporate resolution here
for opening up a bank account with North Fork
Bank, isn't that right, sir, for Agriprocessors, Incorporated?
A. I don't know what this document is. You
showed me a signature line that I may have signed.
Q. Okay. And that's the signature you
identified as your signature, isn't it, sir?
A. But I didn't write this in here.
Q. Sir, that's your signature that you
recognized, isn't that right, sir?
A. It could be. I mean, yes.
Q. And it represents you to be president of Agriprocessors, does it not, sir?
A. If that representation was made before the signature -- I never represented myself as the president of Agriprocessors. Q. Now, you were the person at Agriprocessors
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\hline 13 Agriprocessors, isn't that right, sir? & 13 there. \\
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\hline 5 A. Yeah. & 5 his statement from the deposition and ask him to \\
\hline 6 Q. Okay. And you were placed under oath during & 6 admit or deny or -- what was his answer. \\
\hline 7 that deposition, weren't you, sir? & 7 Q. And in the deposition at Page 225, Line 24, \\
\hline 8 A. Yes. & 8 you were asked the question, "You are the person \\
\hline 9 Q. Okay. Same oath you took today in front of & 9 at Agriprocessors that was responsible for \\
\hline 10 this judge, right? & 10 overseeing accounts receivable, correct?" And \\
\hline 11 A. Took an oath, yeah. & 11 your answer was, "In a general sense." \\
\hline 12 Q. And you were asked a number of questions and & 12 A. Which is -- you said if I was the only one \\
\hline 13 you gave a number of answers, didn't you, sir? & 13 responsible. That was the first question. \\
\hline 14 A. Yes. & 14 Q. And my question to you, sir, was, "Were you \\
\hline 15 Q. Okay. Directing your attention to -- & 15 the person responsible for overseeing \\
\hline 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Counsel, Page 225, Line & 16 Agriprocessors's accounts receivable?" \\
\hline 1724. & 17 MR. COOK: Your Honor, I object. It's a \\
\hline 18 MR. COOK: Objection, Your Honor. & 18 misstatement of the record. Counsel's already \\
\hline 19 Assumes facts not in evidence. & 19 said what the record says and he misstates it. \\
\hline 20 THE COURT: You can ask him about it. I & 20 Object to the form. \\
\hline 21 don't think that exhibit is in, is it? & 21 A. There was -- \\
\hline 22 MR. COOK: No, Your Honor. & 22 THE COURT: Just a second. Objection \\
\hline 23 Q. Sir, let me direct your attention then to & 23 sustained. Let's move on. \\
\hline 24 Page 225. & 24 Q. I asked you, sir, if you were the person in \\
\hline 25 MR. WILLIAMS: And may I approach the & 25 charge of accounts payable as well. And do you \\
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recall in that same deposition at Page 57, Line 19 , you were asked the question, "Does
Agriprocessors have somebody in charge of accounts
receivable?" Your answer at Line 21 was, "Yes."
Question was, at Line 22, "What [sic] would that be?" And Line 23, your answer was, "Me."

Do you recall being asked those questions and giving that answer, sir?
A. I don't recall exactly every line there, but I can -- what comes to mind is --
Q. Sir, did you answer that question the way I read it in the deposition?
A. I don't have recollection. I listened to you talking. But I was talking about a time frame of 2001 or 1999, whatever the time frame was. It was way back. When you're asking me questions, I'm taking it to be you're asking me what my position was in the time of the court case, which is '07, '08. And my concern is you're shifting backwards. And I explained to you, my positions moved around.
Q. I asked you the question, if you oversaw the flow of money at Agriprocessors, and your answer was no.

Do you recall that question and answer,
sir?
A. I said it was like overseeing the

Mississippi. I did look into it. I was involved with it, but I wasn't -- I wasn't -- "overseeing" means the one responsible and has the final say. To that, I say no. Maybe I don't understand -Q. All right. Same deposition, sir, Page 9, Line 9, you were asked the question, "In the financial aspects of" -- I'm sorry, let me start up further.

The question starts at Line 3.
"Question: You gave me three areas you were responsible for as vice-president. One is the office, one is financial, one is new projects. As to your involvement in the financial aspects of Agriprocessors's business, what do you do?"
"Answer: In the financial world?"
"Question: No, in the financial aspects of Agriprocessors's business."

Answer, at Line 11, "I sign checks. I
oversee the flow of money."
Do you recall being asked those questions and giving that answer, sir? A. I don't recall that particular question. I was reading what I was saying. And if that's what
it says, that's what it says.
Q. I asked you the question, if you were in charge of all financial aspects run out of Agriprocessors, and you said no.

Do you recall that, sir?
A. You're talking about a time frame of '07,
'08. And I can tell you there were five, six people overseeing accounts payable at Agriprocessors.
Q. In the same deposition, sir, Page 54, you were asked the question, at Line 7, "All financial aspects of the business are run out of Iowa?" Your answer was, "Yes." Question at Line 10 --
MR. COOK: Your Honor, I object to this procedure. It's improper. It's just reading from the deposition.

THE COURT: All right. You can ask him if he remembers being asked such and such a question and answering such and such.
Q. Sir, do you remember being asked the question, "You are in charge of these?" And "these" being reference to the prior question, "all financial aspects of the business." And your answer at Line 11 was, "Yes."

\section*{102}

Do you remember getting asked that question and giving that answer, sir?
A. I don't recall. All I can say is that that deposition was about a time frame -- not the time frame you started asking me about, and therefore, they're --
Q. Let's talk a little bit about Packers \&

Stockyards, sir.
A. Yes.
Q. You knew there was no real credit given from cattle suppliers, isn't that right?

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A. Please repeat the question.
Q. Yeah. You knew there was no real credit given from cattle suppliers, isn't that right?
A. No.
Q. Same deposition, Page 99, do you recall being asked this question and giving this answer, "Did you ever have discussion with Mr. Hunt concerning Allou and its business relationship with Agriprocessors?"
"Answer: Yes. When he opened the account -- and he was very pleased with himself. We got a good customer. He always knew -- he
always knows I need cash."
At Line 18, "In the cattle business, livestock business, it's unique. There is no real credit given from suppliers. You don't get trade credit."

Do you recall being asked that question and giving that answer about trade credit, sir?
A. I'm listening to you now. That could have been what I said, yeah.
Q. And you knew that you had to cut the suppliers' checks on a daily basis, didn't you, sir?

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A. Agriprocessors tried very hard to cut the
checks every single day.
Q. My question, sir, goes to your knowledge.

You knew that Agriprocessors had to cut checks to
cattle suppliers on a daily basis?
A. Depending how they were bought.
Q. And you knew that if you killed them on

Monday, you have to pay them on Tuesday, isn't
that right?
A. Unless they were bought -- if they buy cattle on a grade and yield basis or on a grid
basis or on a bulk purchase basis, where the supplier gets one check. It's a -- there are outs.
Q. Okay. And you knew that the Packers \&

Stockyards Act requires a timely payment accounting, didn't you, sir?
A. The Packers \& Stockyards Act talks about payment within 24 hours for purchase of cattle that is bought.
Q. And over the years you held up those
payments of cattle suppliers, didn't you, sir?
A. I'm not sure. Can you be specific as to
which supplier?
Q. You held up payments to the point where cattlemen complained about being paid late?
A. I did?
Q. Yes, sir, you did.
A. Okay.
Q. And to the point where ultimately the

Secretary of Agriculture in 2002 had to enter an order to cease and desist your late payments to cattle suppliers, isn't that right?
A. That particular cease and desist was made out to Agriprocessors, not to Sholom Rubashkin. And actually, Donald Hunt was buying cattle at
that point in time. So if you want to put a name on it, put Donald Hunt's name on it.
Q. Well, you want to put a name on it. Let's
take a look at Exhibit 3000, Page 11. That's the cease and desist order, and your name is the one on that cease and desist order, isn't it, sir?
A. This is -- I don't know, can I -- I -- this
is a product from Agriprocessors's, I think, legal
that I was working with them on. And as a representative of Agriprocessors, I signed. It's not my personal responsibility for -- by signing this paper.
Q. Attached to the cease and desist order on behalf of Agriprocessors is your name, isn't it, sir, your signature?
A. I signed Agri. I wasn't signing personally for this. All I was acknowledging is that Agri had received that piece of paper. That doesn't mean I received responsibility for that.
Q. And after -- after the cease and desist order, you continued to hold up those payments to cattle suppliers, didn't you, sir?
A. If you showed me some kind of checks that I can respond to, I can do that.
Q. What you would do is you would tell Shella
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what days you wanted her to mail those out, didn't you, sir?
A. There were certain checks that I -- probably in the general account also, but there were cattle checks, whether they were -- there were cattle checks that were out or grade and yield or a customer picking up a check or -- if you gave me specifics, I can definitely respond to that.
Q. And you would put the post-it notes on the outside of the cattle suppliers' checks telling her when to actually mail those, didn't you, sir?
A. On those I did.
Q. The checks were cut on a timely basis, weren't they?
A. Not always.
Q. And then you would put a day on there, on when you wanted them to actually be mailed out, isn't that right, sir?
A. If you can be more specific, then I would like to answer you.
Q. And on some occasions, you would tell Shella to go ahead and meter the payment so it was metered on a date, and then you would have her return that envelope back to you after it had been metered, isn't that right?
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A. That's definitely -- can I answer that?
Q. Did you do that, sir?
A. Yeah, but not the cattle check. That was -that was -- and she was here. That was the general check -- it wasn't a real mailbox. There was a cardboard box sitting next to a Postmaster thingy over there. And it was scheduled to go out that day, and then whatever happened there, I -- I went over there and took it out. It --
Q. Sir, you're the one who decided when checks went out of Agriprocessors?
A. Not -- not the only -- there were plenty of times that checks went out there and I didn't want them to go out or -- or -- or people bumped their heads, whatever happened. I wasn't the only guy. Q. And in 2005 you learned about the fact that Agriprocessors had hundreds of workers who were using social security numbers that did not match the names in the records, isn't that right?
A. I think it's 2006.
Q. Okay, 2006. You learned about that?
A. I was told by Elizabeth, yes.
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Q. All right. And you were told by Elizabeth something had to be done about this, isn't that right?
A. I think I told her something has to be done about it. And the first thing she said is that the numbers -- there's only numbers, no names, and took who knows how much time to go through all those numbers, and said give me -- give me the few you have rather than the whole list, and -- and so it took maybe a month or -- who knows how long it took, maybe months, until she actually took the numbers and put them next to the names to understand what that paper even meant.
Q. Elizabeth came to you and said, "We have to do something about this. We have hundreds of workers working in our plant that are using improper social security numbers," isn't that right?
A. She came to me and said she got a letter from the association weeks after she got it, and I said to her, "Please identify who they are so we can take action." That is a correct statement. Q. She kept coming back to you trying to figure out what we're going to do about these workers who were using improper social security numbers, isn't
that right?
A. We spoke about the mismatch letters, and, if

I can remember correctly, I was the one that was
prompting her to get moving on it.
Q. Your response to her was, "It's my plant, and I'll run it the way I want to." Isn't that your response to her?
A. You know, I'll take offense to that.
Q. Now, in 2007, Elizabeth Billmeyer told you
that the pink cards aren't good anymore, isn't that right?
A. She -- she told me that she had a visitor from ICE, okay. And mind you, this came after she had a compliment from ICE about -- about some other files they looked through, and so I was getting mixed messages all over the place.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I would ask that the defendant --

THE DEFENDANT: Answer the question.
MR. WILLIAMS: The answer be struck as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken. It is nonresponsive.

And, Ms. Murray, would you please re-read the question for him.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the Court Reporter.)
A. She told me in a year, by next year of '08, she can't be taking any more pink cards.
Q. And she told you that she was not going to take any more pink cards, isn't that what she told you, in 2007?
A. She told me that she could wait until 2008 when she feels uncomfortable to take the pink cards, because she doesn't know -- she felt uncomfortable, although -- whatever, and then -and she would only prefer the white cards.
Q. So your answer to that was to start putting workers with pink cards on the Hunt payroll, wasn't it?
A. I think you've got the facts wrong.
Q. And got Shlomo ben Chaim?
A. Yeah. Any objection to his growing sidelocks? Is that the reason you put this here --
Q. And you got him to sign the I-9s, isn't that right, sir?
A. He happens to have gone to school in Decorah -Q. Sir, answer my question.
A. Oh, sorry.
Q. Did you have Shlomo ben Chaim --
A. Yes.
Q. -- sign the I-9s?
A. I asked him. I paid him for it.
Q. He wasn't part of the human resources, was he, sir?
A. For that project. He was not supposed to be part --
Q. He was not a member of human resources, was
he, sir? Yes or no.
A. No. I made him a member --
Q. Sir, there's no question pending.
A. I'm sorry. I'll try to abide, and I
apologize.
Q. And these are all workers that came in and were hired after hours, weren't they, sir?
A. At Agriprocessors there was never any after hours. The city never sleeps.
Q. It was after human resources hours, wasn't
it, sir?
A. Depending on which worker in human resources. The lights are on until nine, ten o'clock at night.
Q. And these workers were all put on the Hunt
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payroll, and you told Laura Althouse to do this
without telling Elizabeth Billmeyer, isn't that
right, sir?
A. Elizabeth Billmeyer was not needed for that project.
Q. And you told Laura Althouse --
A. I don't recall.
Q. -- to hire these workers without telling

Elizabeth Billmeyer, isn't that right?
A. You can't hide hiring 50 workers from

Elizabeth Billmeyer. How could I have ever said that?
Q. Answer my question, sir.
A. The answer is no.

MR. COOK: Your Honor, is counsel using Exhibit 334? I object to its continued display.
Q. Now, May of 2008, you testified already that you were aware that there was probably a raid coming to the plant, isn't that right?
A. Which date, sir?
Q. In May of 2008, you believed that the plant was going to be raided?
A. In the month of May? Yes.
Q. In the month of May.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. You thought it was going to be coming that Tuesday, May 13, not Monday, May 12, isn't that right?
A. My bet was on Monday morning.
Q. And on Sunday, you had Laura Althouse again come in and work Sunday night processing a bunch of new applicants, isn't that right, sir?
A. She was requested to come in on Sunday to process new applicants or anybody who wanted to apply for a job.
Q. And the Friday before that, you had had Laura Althouse get into Elizabeth Billmeyer's office to get that list of the no-match employees?
A. That wasn't on Friday.
Q. It was Thursday?
A. The testimony that came in was Thursday.
Q. When was it, sir? Was it Thursday or Friday that you had --
A. I heard testimony on Thursday and -- I'm --

I don't have a recollection of that, because that particular document was given out to anybody who needed to maybe -- a few people who were in the processes of firing people, and Laura had to know, and Elizabeth had to know, so I don't recall her having to get the document from Elizabeth.
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Q. Sir, you're the one who directed Laura

Althouse to get into Elizabeth Billmeyer's office to get that list, isn't that right?
A. I don't recall, but if they say I did, I
did. That's fine.
Q. And on Friday in their paychecks came notice that they were going to be let go because their numbers, social security numbers, didn't match their names, isn't that right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And on Sunday a number of those same workers came back in and applied for jobs on Sunday afternoon, Sunday evening, isn't that right? A. When -- when -- first of all, I wasn't particularly there to see the people that were there. I was there physically. I don't -- I wasn't processing anything. But I don't think it would be impossible for somebody to have had a problem with a mismatch corrected and come back. Even though they worked there -- I mean, just seeing them again does not mean that -- that they're not there to correct this problem.
Q. And you told Laura Althouse that you would sign the I-9s, didn't you, sir?
A. I never did.
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7 Q. And you went ahead and wrote a check for
\(\$ 4,500\) for that purpose, didn't you, sir?
A. I did not, not for that purpose.
Q. And, in fact, you made Carlos promise you
the promise that he would pay you back if the
workers didn't pay him back, isn't that right?
A. I don't recall the whole thing.
Q. Sir, after the raid, Phil Lykens came up to Agriprocessors and met with you, isn't that right?
A. The raid was on Monday. He came -- I don't recall exactly -- either Wednesday or Thursday.
Q. And he talked to you -- talked to you about his concern about the raid and the ramifications it was going to have on Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. You say he came and talked to me. He didn't come and talk to me. He came to talk to
Mr. Rubashkin and his accountant, or his advisor, Norman Lipshie.

\section*{116}
Q. And you told him that you thought that all the workers had been properly documented, isn't that right?
A. I don't -- I don't have recollection exactly
the words that I said that suddenly -- I don't
have a recollection of the exact words. I
remember him saying to me that he's concerned
that they're going to come here and cart me away.
Q. And you assured him that you had complied
and Agriprocessors had complied with the law.
Isn't that what you assured Mr. Lykens that day, sir?
A. I told him it's not about me. It's about what he wants to do with the company. That's my response.
Q. My question to you, sir, is did you assure Phil Lykens that Agriprocessors had complied with the law? Did you tell him that or didn't you, sir?
A. I don't recall the exact wording of that conversation, yes.
Q. And you knew at the time that you told him that that you had employed workers on the Hunt payroll that had presented pink IDs, didn't you, sir?
A. The people working on the Hunt payroll were all legal people at the time of hire.
Q. And you knew, sir, at the time that you told that to Phil Lykens, that you had been for years employing hundreds of workers at your plant that were illegal aliens, didn't you, sir?
A. To be able -- I did not know for a certainty who is an illegal and who was legal. And I tried very hard, and I think I was at the forefront of the whole dealing with mismatch; was actually me who fought so hard.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I ask that the answer be struck and that he be instructed to answer the question asked.

THE COURT: Let's have the question re-read.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read by the Court Reporter.)

MR. COOK: I also object to the form of the question. It assumes facts not in evidence. The witness has already denied the statement that he's now trying to ask another question about. Object to the form.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, sir.
A. That -- I'm not particularly clear on what you're asking. Could you -- it's knowing and when was it known. I mean, after the raid and they came back with -- the government finds 300-plus people there.
Q. Let me ask you a new question then, sir, all right?
A. Yeah.
Q. You told Phil Lykens that -- let me rephrase
it. You told the jury -- do you deny or do you admit that you knew Agriprocessors was employing illegal aliens?
A. After the raid --

MR. COOK: Just a moment, Your Honor. I object to the form of the question. Irrelevant, immaterial, 403.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A. What was the question, again, sir?
Q. Let me rephrase and see -- prior to the raid, you knew that Agriprocessors was employing illegal aliens, didn't you, sir?
A. I did not know we hired any illegal aliens. Actually --
Q. You knew Agriprocessors was employing illegal aliens prior to the raid, didn't you, sir?
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A. I knew we had mismatch letters, and I was
trying hard to comply with the law by giving out letters and telling them they can't work at Agriprocessors anymore.
Q. Let's talk about the invoices you had Judy

Meyer and Darlis Hendry create. Now, what you would do is you would actually go to these women and you would give them a list of company names and dollar figures, isn't that right?
A. I don't know with Judy Meyer, but with

Darlis Hendry, I would -- I would -- I'd give her a list with names and what -- what type of order they should have -- not what type of order, what -- what customers owed, like inventory. I would have with me a book and I would ask them to book this and this and this inventories.
Q. What you did, sir, is you walked up to her with a piece of paper with a name of a company and a dollar figure on it, didn't you, sir?

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A. There were times that I did that, yes.
Q. And you told her just to make up whatever products she wanted to, to reflect it on the invoice, isn't that right, sir?
A. I did not tell her that. I asked her to make -- in certain cases, there was invoices made that were -- how can -- just a bill.
Q. And you also told her to create bills of
lading, isn't that right, sir?
A. That, I did not.
Q. And you told her to sign truckers' names to the bills of lading, isn't that right, sir?
A. That, I did not.
Q. And you did the same thing with Judy Meyer, didn't you, sir?
A. Judy Meyer was --
Q. Sir, just answer my question. Did you do the same thing with Judy Meyer, sir?
A. It could have been -- I don't remember. It could have been a bill and hold. It could have been -- I don't recall with Judy Meyer.
Q. Did you tell Judy Meyer to sign truckers's names to bills of lading, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. And you created these invoices because you knew that the effect of creating these invoices was to get more money from First Bank, isn't that right?
A. The effect of those invoices would be to get
money from the bank, yes.
Q. You knew that the invoices would increase
the accounts receivables as reflected on the books
at Agriprocessors, didn't you?
A. The part of the books I don't understand.
Q. You knew the effect was to get more money
from First Bank, isn't that right?
A. I knew that more money would be forthcoming by that.
Q. By creating these invoices, isn't that
right?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you would walk down from your office, down to Darlis Hendry's office, in order to give her these instructions, wouldn't you?
A. Sometimes, I -- I don't know from where I walked, but I sometimes went to her and -- yeah.
Q. And your office was in a different building, wasn't it, sir?
A. My office was in the front building.
Q. And when you would go down, you would tell her that she was supposed to keep those invoices
separate from the rest of the invoices, didn't
you, sir?
A. No, I never told people how to file. I

\section*{don't know how to do it myself.}
Q. And when you had Darlis Hendry create these
invoices, you didn't give her any order from a
customer, did you, sir?
A. Sometimes I did.
Q. You didn't give her source documents, did
you, sir?
A. Sometimes I did.
Q. You didn't send these instructions to her in an e-mail, did you?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Let's talk about April Hamilton for a few minutes. April Hamilton was in the accounts receivable department, isn't that right?
A. Again.
Q. April Hamilton was in accounts receivable?
A. Yeah.
Q. She would be the one who would get the customer payments every day, isn't that right? A. I don't know exactly how it flows. I think it first went to Wendy, and then it went to April. Q. Okay. And you would walk down and have April actually pull customer checks from the deposit going to Decorah Bank \& Trust, wouldn't you, sir?
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1 A. I -- not -- not necessarily walking down. I could have been sitting in Toby's office and talking to him, and he would tell me he needs so much money and I should go and get him money.
Q. And you told April Hamilton to pull checks and not deposit them in Decorah Bank \& Trust, isn't that right?
A. I asked April Hamilton to please take out so much and so much because Toby needs that much money for his deposit.
Q. And you also told April Hamilton to come up with rounding checks, didn't you, sir?
A. No.
Q. You told her you needed checks so that the deposit that was going to go into the Citizens State Bank would be a round number, didn't you, sir?
A. I don't recall. I'm not an accountant and I don't understand all that.
Q. You told her to create checks to make sure the deposit was even, didn't you, sir?
A. It could have been Mitchell Meltzer. It could have been Toby. And I don't see the whole purpose of the whole thing, so I don't recall that.
Q. Did you tell April Hamilton to create checks so the deposits going into Citizens State Bank would be even amounts, sir?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. And the checks that were used to make these in even amounts came from New York Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. There were -- there were numbers of those checks, yes.
Q. And you approached Mitch Meltzer at one point and asked him for a check, for about \(\$ 700\), so that that deposit could be rounded out?
A. Actually, I told him that he couldn't get his round number that he wanted, so he said, "Here, let me give you my check."
Q. And the reason that you had these -- the -the reason it was important to have these deposits going to Citizens State Bank for the round amount was so that First Bank wasn't aware of what you were doing, isn't that right?

MR. COOK: Objection, leading -- excuse me, argumentative, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. There's no other reason for even deposits into the Citizens State Bank other than to conceal
what was happening, isn't that right?
A. There could be -- it could be many reasons why they wanted that round number.
Q. Now, at some point after you had used the --
the customer payments for a while, that money had
to be replenished into Decorah -- I'm sorry,
Decorah Bank \& Trust?
MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. Sir, isn't it true that you approached April

Hamilton, and you would tell her how many CHAX
checks to issue in order to get money back into
Decorah Bank \& Trust?
A. Again, in all this whole scenario, I -- Toby would meet with me and say how much money he need and then I was the one -- oh, boy -- I didn't realize there was anything wrong with it.
Q. My question to you, sir, is did you tell

April Hamilton to cut checks, CHAX checks, to be deposited into Decorah Bank \& Trust?
A. Yeah.
Q. And you told her how many checks to cut, didn't you?
A. I asked her to make it approximately -- not
approximately, to make it to pay -- to give back
126
the money for the -- for the other check, and that was it.
Q. And you would tell her to make those in odd amounts, wouldn't you, sir?
A. The payments were in odd amounts, they should be in odd amounts.
Q. And these odd amounts you had her just make
up, didn't you, sir?
A. No, definitely not.
Q. You would tell her the number of checks that you needed and told her just to come up with the numbers herself, didn't you, sir?
A. No, she may have done things for her own -for her own efficiency or faster, but I -- I
remember explicitly telling her, make -- make sure you pay back the money from that particular check. So she may have been, you know, to make it faster putting it in and then later, figure it out, but my directions was to go and put it in the -the -- the right, so if you've got \(87-87\) and an odd number, whatever, odd number, even -- or odd/even. So, do that, and if she decided it's more efficient to do it differently, that may have happened, but that's not what I told her to do. Q. Well, a lot of the checks that were put back
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A. But who made those red circles?
Q. Also, sir -- or, sir, also in your house there was a note where the -- the accounts are also -- the accounts receivable are also being tracked, isn't that right? Referring to Exhibit 2092.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's your handwriting, isn't it, sir?
A. Yes, but --
Q. Now, every month, in addition to keeping
track of -- let me rephrase that. Every month
there would be financial statements that would
have to be generated for Agriprocessors, isn't
that correct?
A. I -- I wasn't part of that process.
Q. You'd have meetings with Toby Bensasson and

Mitch Meltzer every month about the financial
statements, wouldn't you, sir?
A. Not -- not in the last two years.
Q. And you would tell them during these
meetings what profit you wanted to show on the financial statements, wouldn't you, sir?
A. No.
Q. And they would have to then cook the books in order to make the numbers work?

MR. COOK: Object to the form of the question. It's argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. They would have to adjust the other accounts in order to make the balance sheet come out to the place where you wanted to show the profit, isn't

\section*{that right, sir?}
A. That is not true.
Q. And you instructed them to do that, didn't
you?
A. That is not true.
Q. Now, there's a number of employees who were
paid off the books for their employment at
Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. I'm not exactly sure what you mean by "off the books."
Q. Well, they were paid money that was not
reflected in their payroll; is that right?
A. I spoke to you about that, and that's --
Q. And that includes Judy Meyer, April

Hamilton, Elizabeth Billmeyer, Toby Bensasson, and Mitch Meltzer?
A. As I explained to Guy before --
Q. Sir, I just want you to answer my question.

Sir, my question is -- and let's just take it one
at a time so you can answer yes or no, all right?
Was Judy Meyer paid money that was not reflected in her payroll checks?
A. That's not an answer [sic] I can answer yes or no to.
Q. Was April Hamilton paid money that was not
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reflected in her payroll checks?
A. That's not an answer I can answer yes or no
to.
Q. Was Elizabeth Billmeyer paid money that was
not reflected in her payroll checks?
A. That's not an answer I can answer yes or no
to.
Q. Was Toby Bensasson paid money that was not reflected in his payroll?
A. Yeah, he took money, not --
Q. And the same thing with Mitch Meltzer, isn't
that right?
A. He --
Q. He was paid money that was not reflected in
his payroll?
A. That's not an answer yes or no -- the way it
was explained to me, that's a 1099. That's a perfectly legal way for paying somebody, providing the person has to file the taxes legally.
Q. So this was your arrangement, wasn't it, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. You're the one that decided to come up with
paying them not under payroll, isn't that right?
A. I don't own Agriprocessors, and it was not

\section*{136}
my organization that did that.
Q. That was your decision, wasn't it, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. You talked to Mitch Meltzer about it, didn't
you, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. Mitch Meltzer wanted to get the pay so it was reflected in his payroll, didn't he, sir?
A. I'm not exactly sure what you're asking.
Q. He wanted his payroll to actually be
reflecting -- the pay he got he wanted to be reflected on his payroll checks, didn't he?
A. Mitch Meltzer was an accountant, and he --

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I'd ask that the answer be stricken as unresponsive.

THE COURT: It is.
And will you please answer the question.
A. I cannot -- I can't answer that question yes or no. It's hard to -- he -- the arrangements that were made on the payroll were done with -actually without my knowledge.
Q. And these people were paid largely in cash, weren't they, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. Not checks, but cash, isn't that right?
A. No, Mitch Meltzer and -- they were paid on a -- a check face.
Q. And then after the raid in May, the pay that these people were getting started to be reflected in their payroll, isn't that right?
A. That was their decision to do that.
Q. Now, even before the raid, sir, you knew
that there was a possibility that there could be people going to jail for the conduct occurring at Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. I'm not exactly sure what you're talking about.
Q. Well, let me show you what's been admitted into evidence as Exhibit 1108. Do you remember this befuddled e-mail from Elizabeth Billmeyer, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. And she says in here -- she's talking up above about the ICE visit when they told her that the pink cards are no longer any good. Do you see that, sir?
A. I can read.
Q. Yeah. And down below, she says, "Somebody
could go to prison for it," isn't that right?
A. I was the one in Agriprocessors that was the
spearhead to get these mismatch letters fixed.
2 Q. My question to you, sir, was: Even back
3 before the raid, you knew people might go to jail
4 for the conduct occurring at Agriprocessors, isn't
5 that right?
6 A. No.
7 Q. And then after the raid, it became clear to
8 you that people might start going to prison, isn't
9 that right?
10 A. I got a target letter a few days after the
11 raid.
12 Q. And one of the people you were worried about
13
14
15
16
17

18

19
20
21 I-9s for the Hunt payroll?
22 A. Correct.
23 Q. And after the raid, Shlomo ben Chaim ended
24 up going to Israel, didn't he?
25 A. He actually lost his job at Agri for the
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A. What is that on top?
Q. I'm asking the questions. Do you recognize
this?
A. I don't see the whole exhibit on my screen,
sir.
Q. Do you recognize that document, sir?
A. Yeah. Now, I recognize that, yes.
Q. All right. And the purpose of this document
and the one for Brent Beebe and the one for Laura
Althouse was to pay them if they went to prison,
isn't that right, sir?
A. In this document, it says same hours. As I
recollect, this is -- it was a Sunday before I
went to New York, and I did -- because they were
busy with their legal -- whatever they were doing
over there, they wouldn't get the same hours, and
my brother -- generally speaking, Agriprocessors
was getting raises then, and my brother authorized
them to get a salary so that they should not get
slow down, and him and his family did leave.
Q. The raid was on May 12,2008 , isn't that right, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. On May 29, 2008, you, using the

Agriprocessors credit card issued to you, bought
plane tickets for him and his family to Tel Aviv,
didn't you, sir?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. And they flew out of America on June 1, 2008, isn't that right, sir?
A. I'm not aware that they used my credit card.
Q. And you also knew that there was a possibility that, after the raid, that Laura Althouse and Elizabeth Billmeyer and Brent Beebe could all end up going to prison, isn't that right?
A. I actually felt that they had -- they did nothing wrong and they should not go to prison.
Q. And because you knew there was a possibility they might go to prison, showing you Exhibit 1314, you wrote up contracts for them to pay them for the time period that they were in prison, isn't that right? A. That's not correct.
Q. And while Brent Beebe signed his name to this, you used your brother's stamp to sign?
A. What is this?
Q. I'm showing you Exhibit 1314, sir.
A. So what is this exhibit?
Q. Do you recognize this exhibit, sir?
A. What is that on top?
Q. I'm asking the questions. Do you recognize this?
A. I don't see the whole exhibit on my screen, sir.
Q. Do you recognize that document, sir?
A. Yeah. Now, I recognize that, yes.
Q. All right. And the purpose of this document and the one for Brent Beebe and the one for Laura Althouse was to pay them if they went to prison, isn't that right, sir?
A. In this document, it says same hours. As I recollect, this is -- it was a Sunday before I went to New York, and I did -- because they were busy with their legal -- whatever they were doing over there, they wouldn't get the same hours, and my brother -- generally speaking, Agriprocessors was getting raises then, and my brother authorized them to get a salary so that they should not get
impacted by their -- but it was agreed that, more or less, it would be the same hours working. I don't see anywhere about them going to prison on this paper.
Q. Sir, your brother didn't sign these
contracts, did he, sir?
A. This was signed by -- with permission from my brother.
Q. You used --
A. I stamped it.
Q. You used your brother's stamp on the document, didn't you?
A. I did. Yes, I did.
Q. And these documents were designed to pay for
those three people when they went to prison?
A. Sir, I just explained to you that you're reading this thing backwards.
Q. Now, after -- after the raid, at some point, as it got into the fall of 2008, you started having other financial problems at Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. Can you be more specific on a date?
Q. Well, at some point, in October, several checks bounced at Decorah Bank \& Trust, isn't that right?
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A. Correct.
Q. And showing you Exhibit 123, these are the
checks that were written on behalf of Agri to
Agriprocessors, isn't that right?
A. As I recall.
Q. And those are the checks that bounced, isn't it right, sir?
A. That's what the exhibit says.
Q. And you had a conversation with Toby at some
point too about, you know, that: You guys could
end up going to prison for what you were doing
with the financials, isn't that right?
MR. COOK: Objection, Your Honor, misstatement of the record.

THE COURT: The witness may answer, if he knows.
A. It is a misstatement.
Q. By the end of October after these checks had bounced, you knew that the government was looking not just at immigration issues now but they were looking at the financial records of the company, isn't that right?
A. I got a target letter on financials way, way, way, way before. Q. Now, the person who signed the Hunt payroll
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I-9s went to Israel, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you went to Laura Althouse and you asked her for the Hunt payroll I-9s, didn't you, sir?
A. Your time frame is wrong.
Q. You got the Hunt payroll records from Laura

Althouse, didn't you, sir?
A. As I recall, I -- I -- I took the records to
see -- I think it was way, way before the raid
that I got it, and I think -- I was requesting it for ben Chaim.
Q. Now, after you're charged in October of 2008, you go to Darlis Hendry, and you got the files of the fake invoices that she created for you, didn't you, sir?
A. Definitely not.
Q. You went to her, and you knew that they had your handwritten notes in her file, didn't you, sir?
A. I don't know what the file is. I do not know what's in there.
Q. And you went down to her office early in the morning and you found those files, and you took the file containing the false invoices, didn't 144

\section*{you, sir?}
A. That's not true.
Q. And you told Darlis not to worry about it,
because she just did what you told her to do.
Isn't that what you told her, sir?
A. That conversation happened way before.
Q. And you also went to April and you asked her for the thumb drive, didn't you, sir?
A. We spoke about it before.
Q. Now, you had told her initially to store
that data that she's keeping track of the diverted checks on that thumb drive, didn't you?
A. Toby actually gave her the thumb drive. And

I -- I didn't even know what a thumb drive is.
Q. You told her to keep her work -- keep track of the diverted checks on the thumb drive, didn't you?
A. That is not true.
Q. And then when -- and the reason you did that is because you knew that thumb drive contained a whole second set of books of Agriprocessors's accounts receivable, didn't you?
A. I think April testified that there's nothing on the thumb drive that had any importance. Q. My question to you, sir, is that the reason
you had her keep that on the thumb drive is that had a second set of books reflecting
Agriprocessors's accounts receivable, isn't that right?
A. That's not true.
Q. Because that thumb drive contained records of the actual payments that had been received by Agriprocessors from the customers, isn't that right?
A. That is totally inaccurate, and I think

April testified there was nothing on that thumb drive that indicated your -- there's nothing on that thumb drive that would indicate your description.
Q. And then, after the charges against you, you went to April and you got that thumb drive from her, didn't you?
A. No, I -- she -- I spoke to her in Toby's office. It was Wednesday, before the charges.
Q. And you also got from her the documents she had that would reflect the current diverted checks, isn't that right?
A. There was a folder. Maybe five, ten pieces of copy. Nothing was in there.
Q. And you also told April to delete from APGEN
all of the unposted checks that were -- had been diverted in -- at that point, isn't that right?
A. That -- that is not true, because you can't delete anything off a computer. A computer always retains everything that's on there.
Q. And you told April to shred any copies of the diverted checks you still had at her desk, isn't that right?
A. Again, not true.
Q. And you talked --

MR. COOK: Are you using that exhibit, Mr. Williams?
(Mr. Williams indicated.)
MR. COOK: Thank you.
MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry about that.
Q. You also talked to Wendy about the same thing, didn't you, sir?
A. No -- you know, I was -- after -- after the raid, I was sitting down in John Tona's office, the FBI came in, I think, that Tuesday and turned that place over, and I would expect they had taken any document that meant anything to anybody. And Wendy was trying to clean up over there. And I'm on the phone, and she came to me -- she came and said, "Can I clean" -- "Can I," you know, "throw
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things out?" And I said, "If it's not important, then throw it out."
Q. Sir, you knew Wendy kept track of the customer statements that went to Doheny and House of Glatt reflecting -- I'm sorry, not House of Glatt -- to City Glatt, reflecting what their true payment history was?
A. The statements and -- was statements that she wanted to collect at that period of time that she felt was due and trying to prod them to pay. Q. All right. My question to you, sir, is that you knew Wendy generated statements reflecting the true payments received by those customers and she would provide those statements to the customers, didn't --
A. That's not an accurate description.
Q. And you knew that she had those at her desk, that she kept copies of those, didn't you?
A. I think the FBI took that when they came in on Tuesday.
Q. And after you were charged, you went to

Wendy and you told her to shred those documents?
A. That's not -- that's not Wendy's testimony.
Q. Sir, the reason you took the thumb drive and
you had the employees and you took -- I'm sorry,
148
the reason you took the thumb drive and you took the files from Darlis Hendry is because you knew they had incriminating information on them, isn't that right?

MR. COOK: Objection, Your Honor, argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A. That is not true.
Q. And the reason you had April and Wendy destroy documents is because you knew they had incriminating information on them?

MR. COOK: Objection, argumentative, misstates the record.

THE COURT: The witness may answer. Overruled.
A. I never destroyed any documents or asked anybody to do that.

MR. WILLIAMS: One moment, Your Honor. No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any redirect?
MR. COOK: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. COOK:
Q. Sir, you were asked about Mr. Bolt's summary and the calculations that he did. And you tried
to give an answer, and Mr. Williams said you could wait until I asked you questions. Do you recall that?
A. Yeah, I do.
Q. What, if anything, is missing from his summary, in your opinion?
A. First of all, when -- when you -- besides showing the money, where it came from, you have to look at why did it come. There is -- what was going on with my account was an open book. Marks, Peneth \& Shron, the company's accounting firm, had set up for myself, like I said, and Heshy, and Yossi Gourarie --

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection, Your Honor, as a narrative and unresponsive to the question.

THE COURT: It appears to be unresponsive.

Ms. Murray, could you possibly read back the question.

MR. COOK: I'll ask another question to speed it up, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q. Did Mr. Bolt's summary reflect money that you had brought into Agri?
A. Definitely not.
Q. Is that what was missing from his summary?
A. That -- that's the main thing. The main thing is that -- it's missing the money that I brought in. Only has the money going out.
Q. And these monies, in part, that are on

Mr. Bolt's summary, would they also be reflected on the general ledger of Agriprocessors?
A. Most definitely, and everything is recorded on the general ledger at Agri.
Q. You were asked about this Government Exhibit 5217, which is some sort of the North Fork Bank resolution. Looking at Page 3 of the exhibit, can you even make out what it says?
A. Actually, no. It's illegible.
Q. And then the last page bears your signature and someone has written your name in and then written -- also spelled the word "president"?
A. Right.
Q. Did -- is that your handwriting?
A. No.
Q. Did you write those things?
A. The -- I wrote -- the top could be my handwriting, and the signature could be -- it probably is, but the -- the "president" part is not my handwriting for sure.
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```

of '08?
of '08?

```
A. I'm not exactly sure what you're asking
here.
    Q. Okay. The cease and desist order which is
    in evidence which was shown to the jury --
    A. Right, right.
    Q. -- that was -- that was before these dates
    that are in the indictment, right?
    A. Yeah, yes, it was before the date.
    Q. There's no cease and desist order issued
    against you personally or Agriprocessors from
    February to April of ' 08 , is there?
    A. No.
    Q. What, if anything, did you do to
    intentionally cause someone to fail to pay
    livestock sellers from February of '08 to April
    of '08?
    A. Nothing.
Q. And who is the other hand that appears on here?
A. It looks like Toby's. It actually says
"Yomtov Bensasson."
Q. And you were asked some questions about
accounts payable, accounts receivable, and
overseeing accounts, and then you were asked some questions from the deposition you gave. Do you remember that?
A. Yeah, from 2005.
Q. That was many years before the date that we're here talking about in this case?
A. Yeah, many, many years; talking about many years before too.
Q. And the reference as to whether you were overseeing those accounts, you actually testified in the deposition that you were overseeing them in general, right?
A. That's what it said, but he wasn't reading what it said.
Q. Let's talk about the Packers \& Stockyards

Act. The jury has seen already there was an order
issued against Agriprocessors, what's called a cease and desist order. That was against the corporation?
A. Most definitely.
Q. Was there ever a cease and desist order entered against you personally?
A. No.
Q. And did that cease and desist order relate
to any of the time periods that are in the
indictment in this case, February of ' 08 to April
A. I'm not exactly sure what you're asking
here.
Q. Okay. The cease and desist order which is
in evidence which was shown to the jury --
A. Right, right.
Q. -- that was -- that was before these dates
that are in the indictment, right?
A. Yeah, yes, it was before the date.
Q. There's no cease and desist order issued
against you personally or Agriprocessors from
February to April of ' 08 , is there?
A. No.
Q. What, if anything, did you do to intentionally cause someone to fail to pay livestock sellers from February of '08 to April of '08?
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29: 9,33: 22,41: 24,42: 2,
\]} & rded [1] & 18:22, 25:25, 35:8, 50:11, \\
\hline 123:24, 140:14 & & records [6]-76:21, 107:22 & 57:14, 60:6, 60:7, 62:25, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
purposes [2] - 67:11, 69:5 \\
pushing [1]-15:18 \\
put [24]-10:21, 11:7, 13:20,
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42:24, 47:7, 49:19, 49:22, \\
59:17, 63:19, 63:20, 64:8,
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142: 21,143: 7,143: 9,145: 6 \\
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\end{array}
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63: 5,63: 7,63: 16,75: 22
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\hline & 59:17, 63:19, 63:20, 64:8,
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& 132: 20,155: 22,155: 23,
\end{aligned}
\] & 78:16, 80:25, 81:2, 81:3, 85:15, 95:24, 95:25, 96:4, \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 76: 25,77: 6,98: 4,99: 12, \\
& 109: 25,110: 2,117: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & 156:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 85: 15,95: 24,95: 25,96: 4 \\
& 101: 21,102: 1,109: 3,116
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 14:7, 14:17, 53:21, 61:24,
\(61: 25,63: 8,78: 21,78: 23\), & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 117: 18,137: 22,149: 18 \\
& \text { reading }[6]-42: 12,42: 22,
\end{aligned}
\]} & redirect [1] - 148:20 & 120:15, 126:15, 131:1, \\
\hline 79:1, 79:4, 83:16, 105:1, & & Redirect [1] - 148:2 & 137:14, 151:9 \\
\hline 105:2, 105:3, 106:9, 106:16, & 100:25, 101:16, 141:17,
\(151: 19\) & reduce [1] - 46:9 & remembers [1] - 101:19 \\
\hline 108:12, 110:19, 111:25, & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{```
151:19
    reads [1] - 50:24
    ready [4]-55:8,55:12, 92:9,
```} & reducing [3]-32:15, 32:16 & remind [1] - 12:12 \\
\hline 126:19, 126:25 & & reduction [1] - 46:6 & reminds [1] - 48:19 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Putting }[2]-85: 20,86: 7 \\
& \text { putting }[3]-10: 20,110: 13, \\
& 126: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} & & reference [7]-37:18, 40:25 & remove [1]-61:15 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 131:7 } \\
& \text { real }[7]-16: 14,25: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & \(52: 18,53: 18,62: 5,101: 23\),
\(151: 15\) & removed [1] - 87:6 \\
\hline & & \[
151: 15
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
removing \([1]-61: 13\) \\
rendering \([2]-57: 7\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Q & \[
107: 8
\] & referencing [1] - 29:17 & repeat [2] - 38:12, 102:14 \\
\hline quality [2] - 31:19, 36:23 & really \([24]-3: 24,7: 6,9: 16\), & \begin{tabular}{l}
referred [2] - 43:23, 79:21 \\
Referring [1] - 132:24
\end{tabular} & rephrase [4]-23:20, 118: \\
\hline question's [1] - 87 & 18:18, 22:20, 26:3, 26:5 & ferring [2] - 90:21, 93:1 & 118:19, 133:5 \\
\hline questioning [1]-24:17 & 28:20, 29:9, 32:24, 33:9 & reflect [6]-90:1, 119:24, & replenished [1] - 125:6 \\
\hline questions [17] - 33:12, 54:7 & 60:24, 64:7, 65:5, 66:18 & 130:7, 130:17, 145:21, & port [7] - 27:21, 65:9, \\
\hline 54:11, 70:19, 88:7, 96:12, & 66:19, 67:6, 67:23, 68:15 & 149:23 & 67:5, 129:9, 129:15, 129:1 \\
\hline 99:8, 99:16, 100:23, 140:8, & \(76: 19,78: 16,80: 13,81: 10\) & reflected [11] - 121:3 & 132:14 \\
\hline \(148: 19,149: 2,151: 5,151: 8\),
\(153: 18,154: 9,156: 20\) & & 134:12, 134:22, 135:1, 135:5, & reported [6]-29:11, 65:13 \\
\hline 153:18, 154:9, 156:20 quick [2] - 11:23, 58:2 & \[
45: 5,62: 7,68: 3,74: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 135: 9,135: 14,136: 8,136: 12 \\
& 137: 4,150: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & 76:8, 76:14, 77:11, 77:14 \\
\hline quiet [1] -63:4 & \[
74: 17,92: 12,110: 19,124: 16
\] & reflecting [5] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Reporter [5]-13:15, 47:7, } \\
& \text { 49:22. 110:2. 117:18 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline quite [10]-5:23, 20:22, & 124:17, 124:24, 144:19 & 145:2, 147:5, 147:6, 147:12 & reporter [2] - 19:3, 45:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
27: 3,37: 11,59: 13,63: 8
\]} & 144:25, 147:24, 148:1, 148:9 & reflects [2] - 71:21, 91:15 & reports [14]-91:7, 129:4, \\
\hline & ]-125:2 & refreshes [1] - 34:12 & 129:6, 129:11, 129:12, \\
\hline & ] - 24:10 & refrigeration [1]-65:6 & 129:14, 129:17, 129:19, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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